TABLE IV—Characteristics at the time of 12 year follow up in 1980-1 of women who, during the preceding 12 years or at examination in 1980-1, were diagnosed as having diabetes. (Body weights in parentheses denote that the figures were reported in an interview, by women who did not participate in the study in 1980-1)

Case No	Age (years)	Duration of diabetic (years)		Body weight (kg)	Antidiabetic treatment	Fasting blood glucose (mmol/l
			Tai	king diure	tics	
1	50	3	Yes	(90)	Tablets	
2	58	11	No	66.1	Tablets	8.9
3	58	8	No ? No	119.0	Tablets	18.8
4	58	0	Νo	123.2	None	7.3
5 6 7	62 62	3	No No	60·6	Diet None	7·6 6·9
7	66	ŏ	No	64.0	None	9.3
8	66	10	Yes	73.1	Tablets	12.3
ğ	72	6	No	92.5	Diet	4.8
			Tak	ing 3 bloc	kers	
10	58	2	Yes	65.2	Insulin	13.5
11	58	2	Yes	(45)	Insulin	
12	62	4	Yes	96.8	Tablets	10.9
13	62	5 8	No	101-8	Tablets	8·0 5·3
14 15	66 66	6	Yes No	65·6 61·3	Tablets Tablets	6·1
16	66	3	Yes	52.2	Tablets	4.4
17	72	2	No	76.5	Tablets	7.5
		Taking	combinatio	n of diure	tics and 3 blockers	
18	50	3	Yes	(140)	Tablets	
19	50	2	Yes	(95)	Tablets	
20	62	1	No	81.0	Diet	8.5
21	62	0	Yes	72·0 114·0	None	9·7 9·1
22 23	62 62	0 5	Yes No	72.0	None Diet	9·1 5·7
24	66	ő	No	86.4	None	6.4
25	66	ğ	No	59.7	Tablets	4 ·5
		Ν	ot taking a	ntihypert	ensive drugs	
26	50	3	No	(98)	Tablets	
27	58	6	No	(63)	Insulin	
28	58	8	No	(60)	Insulin	
29	58	6	No	80.3	Tablets	9.2
30	58	9 7	No	62.0	Tablets	17.0
31 32	58 62	6	Yes No	68·3 52·1	Tablets Insulin	16·9 16·8
33		in 1976	No	32.1	Hisumi	10.9
34	62	0	No	84.0	None	6.5
35	62	ž	No	70.5	Tablets	3.8
36	62	Ō	No	58.5	None	6.3
37	62	0	No	59.0	None	7.8
38	62	4	Yes	80.1	Tablets	5.7
39	62	1	Yes	67·1 67·0	Diet	6·0 8·8
40 41	62 62	0	Yes Yes	100.1	None None	8·8 8·6
41		in 1976	Yes	1001	TAOHC	0.0
43	72	4	Yes	62.2	Diet	6.9

^{*}Diabetes among parents or brothers or sisters.

*Conversion: SI to traditional units—Glucose: 1 mmol 1 \approx 18 mg/100 ml.

clusions concerning the incidence of diabetes could be drawn for only diuretics and \(\beta \) blockers.

There are few recent data on the incidence of diabetes in subjects with untreated arterial hypertension as leaving arterial hypertension untreated is now considered to be ethically unacceptable. Previous studies have indicated an association between untreated hypertension and diabetes, as reviewed, for example, by Barrett-Connor et al10 and Drury,11 but the association was never as strong as that observed in the present study of hypertensive subjects taking antihypertensive drugs. We therefore feel justified in believing that an association exists between treatment with antihypertensive drugs and diabetes, at least as far as diuretics and \(\beta \) blockers are concerned; final proof, however, is still lacking. It is also worth noting that in this respect our findings showed no difference between diuretics and B blockers.

Ever since diuretics were introduced as antihypertensive drugs their possible association with diabetes has been discussed, and many papers have been published on the possible relation between diuretics and impaired glucose tolerance or clinical diabetes. Such studies have been reviewed, for example, by our group¹² and by Furman¹³; interest has not, however, been focused on β blockers in the same way.

One main drawback of our study is that the subjects were not randomised to one or other drug. The drugs were given according to the individual doctor's clinical judgment. This necessitates caution when interpreting the results. With respect, however, to the appreciable differences observed in comparison with women not taking antihypertensive drugs and, in addition,

the similarities before treatment between subjects who started different antihypertensive drugs, the results cannot be disregarded.

The main advantages of this study are that the women were representative of women in the general population, they were carefully followed up, a long follow up period was used, and the number of subjects disappearing from the study over the 12 years was small.

Our findings raise the important question of whether the results should be taken as an indication for avoiding diuretics and β blockers in the treatment of arterial hypertension. Very limited information exists concerning the risk of diabetes when using other types of antihypertensive treatment, and we therefore think that other drugs must be shown to be different in this respect before they can be recommended, as being less diabetogenic, as drugs of choice in the treatment of arterial hypertension.

Despite the increased risk of clinical diabetes that we observed, we found that diuretics and 3 blockers caused few side effects during the long term follow up described in this paper. In addition, mortality was, if anything, lower among women who were referred for antihypertensive treatment at the time of the initial study than in other women of the same age in the general population.14 We therefore think that diuretics and 3 blockers are still the drugs of choice in the treatment of arterial hypertension.

The analyses and presentation of data were supported by grants from the Swedish Medical Research Council (27X-4578).

References

- Mohler H, Bravo EL, Tarazi RC. Glucose intolerance during chronic beta-adrenergic blockade in man. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1979;25:237.
 Bengtsson C, Lennartsson J, Lindquist O, et al. On metabolic effects of diuretics and 5-blockers. Results from a cross-sectional and longitudinal population study of women. Acta Med Scand 1982;212:57-64.
 Bengtsson C, Blohme G, Hallberg L, et al. The study of women in Gothenburg 1968-1969—a population study. General design, purpose and sampling results. Acta Med Scand 1973;193:311-8.
 Bengtsson C, Hallberg L, Hällström T, et al. The population study of women in Goteborg 1974-1975—the second phase of a longitudinal study. General design, purpose and sampling results. Scand J Soc Med 1978;6:49-54.
 Sigurdsson JA. High blood pressure in women. A cross-sectional and a longitudinal follow-up study. Acta Med Scand 1983;suppl 669.
 World Health Organisation. Report of the WHO expert committee on diabetes mellitus. WHO Techn Rep Ser 1980;646:1-80.
 Bradley JV. Distribution-free statistical tests. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, 1968:68-86.
 Mantel N. Chi-square tests with one degree of freedom; extensions of the Mantel-Haenszel procedure. Journal of the American Statistical Association 1963;58:690-700.
 Miettinen O. Estimability and estimation in case-referent studies. Amf Epidemiol 1976;103:226-35.
 Barrett-Connor E, Criqui MH, Klauber MR, Holdbrook M. Diabetes and hypertension in a community of older adults. Amf Epidemiol 1981;113:276-84.
 Drury PL. Diabetes and arterial hypertension. Diabetologia 1983;24:1-9.
 Bengtsson C. Impairment of glucose metabolism during treatment with antihypertension drugs. Pharmacol Ther 1981;12:613-49.
 Bigurdsson JA, Bengtsson C, Lapidus L, Lindquist O, Rafnsson V. Morbidity and mortality in relation to blood pressure and antihypertensive treatment. A 12-year follow-up study of a populatio

(Accepted 7 September 1984)

Shredding of manuscripts

From 1 January 1985 articles submitted for publication will not be returned. Authors whose papers are rejected will be advised of the decision, and the manuscripts will be kept under security for three months, to deal with any inquiries, and then destroyed by shredding. Hence we would prefer to receive for consideration photostats or copies produced by word processor (see BMJ 13 October, p 942), though we do, of course, still need three copies.

Instructions to authors

The BMf has agreed to accept manuscripts prepared in accordance with the Vancouver style and will consider any paper that conforms to the style. More detailed and specific instructions are given below.

All material submitted for publication is assumed to be submitted exclusively to the $BM\mathcal{I}$ unless the contrary is stated. All authors must give signed consent to publication. The editor retains the customary right to style and if necessary shorten material accepted for publication.

Manuscripts will be acknowledged only if a stamped addressed postcard or international reply coupon is enclosed.

Original articles are usually up to 2000 words long, with no more than six tables or illustrations; they should normally report original research of relevance to clinical medicine and may appear either as Clinical Research papers or in the Papers and Short Reports section. Short Reports are up to 600 words long, with one table or illustration and no more than five references. Clinical case histories and brief or negative research findings may appear in this section or as Unreviewed Reports, which are 100 words long, contain no tables or figures, one reference, and two authors' names. Papers for the Practice Observed section should cover research or any other matters relevant to primary care. Medical practice articles are mostly written by invitation, but we welcome reports of up to 2000 words on the organisation or assessment of medical work and on sociological aspects of medicine. Talking Point articles are concerned with the organisation, financing, and manpower of health services. Contributions for the Personal View and Materia Non Medica columns are always welcome and should contain up to 1150 and 400 words respectively. Letters should normally be of not more than 400 words, have no more than 10 references, and be signed by all authors; preference is given to those that take up points made in contributions published in the journal.

Any article may be submitted to outside peer review and evaluation by the editorial committee as well as statistical assessment. This should take four weeks but may take up to six. Manuscripts are usually published within three months of the date of final acceptance of the article.

Manuscripts, tables, and illustrations

Authors should keep one copy of their manuscripts for reference. Manuscripts should be typed double spaced on one side of the paper with a 5 cm margin at the top and left hand side of the sheet. The pages should be numbered. Three copies should be submitted; if the paper is rejected two will be returned. The authors should include their names and initials, their posts at the time they did the work, and no more than two degrees each. Scientific articles should conform to the conventional structure of abstract, introduction, methods, results, discussion, and references. The abstract should be no longer than 150 words and should set out what was done and the main findings and their implications.

Drugs should be given their approved, not proprietary, names, and the source of any new or experimental preparations should be given. Abbreviations should not be used. Scientific measurements should be given in SI units, followed, in the text, by traditional units in parentheses; in tables and illustrations values should be expressed only in SI units, but a

conversion factor should be given. Blood pressure, however, should be expressed in mm Hg and haemoglobin as g/dl.

Any statistical method used should be detailed in the methods section of the paper and any not in common use should be either described in detail or supported by references. Tables and illustrations should be submitted separately from the text of the paper, and legends to illustrations should also be typed on a separate sheet. Tables should be simple and should not duplicate information in the text of the article. Illustrations should be used only when data cannot be expressed clearly in any other way. When graphs or histograms are submitted the numerical data on which they are based should be supplied. Line drawings should be in Indian ink on heavy white paper or card, with any labelling on a separate sheet; they may also be presented as photographic prints. Other illustrations should usually be prints—not negatives, transparencies, or x ray films; they should be no larger than 30×21 cm (A4) and be trimmed to remove all redundant areas; the top should be marked on the back. Staining techniques of photomicrographs should be stated. Either an internal scale marker should be included on the photomicrograph or the final print magnification of the photograph itself should be given. Again, any labelling should be on copies, not on the prints. Patients shown in photographs should have their identity concealed or should give their written consent to publication. If any tables or illustrations submitted have been published elsewhere written consent to republication should be obtained by the author from the copyright holder (usually the publisher) and the authors.

References

References should be numbered in the order in which they appear in the text. At the end of the article the full list of references should give the names and initials of all authors (unless there are more than six, when only the first three should be given followed by et al). The authors' names are followed by the title of the article; the title of the journal abbreviated according to the style of *Index Medicus* (see "List of Journals Indexed," printed annually in the January issue of *Index Medicus*); the year of publication; the volume number; and the first and last page numbers. Titles of books should be followed by place of publication, publisher, and year.

¹ Soter NA, Wasserman SI, Austen KF. Cold urticaria: release into the circulation of histamine and eosinophil chemotactic factor of anaphylaxis during cold challenge. N Engl J Med 1976;294:687-90.

² Osler AG. Complement: mechanisms and functions. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, 1976.

Information from manuscripts not yet accepted or personal communications may be cited only in the text. Authors must verify references against the original documents before submitting the article.

Proofs and reprints

Manuscripts should bear the name and address of the author to whom the proofs and correspondence should be sent. Proofs are not normally sent for letters. Proof corrections should be kept to a minimum and should conform to the conventions shown in *Whitaker's Almanack*. Reprints are available at cost; a scale of charges is included when a proof is sent.

¹ International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. Uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to biomedical journals. Br Med 7 1982;284:1766-70.