TREATMENT OF SNAKE-BITE

Numerous so-called remedies for snake-bite have at various times been put forward, both in this country and elsewhere; but, in spite of everything that has been said and done, we appear to be as far from the desired goal as ever. Want of success has, however, not deterred yet another caimant to the honour of the discovery of an effectual cure from coming forward to state his views; and as the details of the remedy are published in this country under official sanction, the matter is at least worth inquiry on the officiance of there being some ground for the claims put forward. The particulars of this new treatment of snake-bitten persons are contained in a communication from the Protector of Immigrants at St. Lucia, who vouches for the efficacy of the measures employed in the cases of several coolies who were bitten by poismons snakes, and who completely recovered. With regard to the treatment, we are told as follows.

"If the patient seem under the influence of fear, a stiff rousing grog is given, and encouraging words are spoken. Then this draught is administered. Of the strongest ammonia, 10 to 20 drops; of therinque, one teaspoonful; of claret, one wineglassful. It the therinque is in the form of a powder, less than a teaspoonful. This draught and this treatment of the wound are repeated one hour afterwards, and again at the interval of another hour. At every draught

claret, one wineglassid. It the therinque is in the form of a powder, less than a teaspoonful. This draught and this treatment of the wound are repeated one hour afterwards, and again at the interval of another hour. At every draught the wound is dressed and plastered. In the great majority of cases, the cure is completed by the third draught and dressing. But if it be a very bad and stubborn case, which will now be manifest, the draught should be given and the dressing applied every half-hour. The bad symptoms are hage swelling creat thirst, and severe vomiting. For the thirst, give a warm to af Peruvian bark; or, if the bark be not at hand, one of quinne, which may be repeated For the swelling, rub the affected part with pickle (from beef or port), then wrap with warm pickle. If this fail to check or reduce the swelling, apply awarm poultice of oil-cake and salt; and, for the excessive vomiting, let the patient chew and swallow a spoonful of Guinea pepper.

"Daring the treatment described above, the petient must on no account be allowed to sleep, and nothing must be given to him to ent or drink until the poison is mastered. Damp clothes should be immediately removed, and the wather rubbed dry; and, it the weather be damp or chility, a fire should be kept

patient rubbed dry; and, if the weather be damp or chiliy, a fire should be kept in the room."

LANCING THE GUMS.

LANCING THE GUNS.

SIR,—It is not my purpose to try and conviage those who dissent from my recently expressed ideas on the cridice of lancing the guns, even if such were possible, but I should beke to write a few lines in answer to the three "free lances" who becomed me with a replay.

Dr. Walford is surprised that there can be two opinions as to the propriety of scarifying the guns of intants while beenfug. His acquaintance with the literature of the sniper must indeed be very limited.

Mr. Duncan's ingenuity in following up the analogy which I suggested between dealth under the representation of the sniper contribution.

mr. Duncan's resembly in showing up the analogy which suggested between the "tooth and taruntion, is very smart, in suggesting a comparison between the "tooth and the fedus," on the one hand, and between "the gum-lancet, and the long or short forceps," on the other. This close analogy is, however, not what I meant to denset, and is, I think, rather overstrained. Mr. Duncan thinks I am "partly right" in my analogy. I must say, in this respect, I think he is "wholly wrong."

thinks I am "partly right" in my analogy. I must say, in this respect, I think he is "wholly wrong."

Mr. Paramore quotes some personal cases of children frantic with agony (colic or passion), in which the gundancet worked wonders. I could, but will not, quote numberless cases, where other treatment, such as broundle, aperients, etc., gave equally good results; and I have powerfully impressed on my mind a case—that of my own child—which at seven months commenced twitching its limbs and facial muscles: I called in the advice of a colleague, a highly qualitied and experienced practitioner, who at once suggested lancing the gums; I consented, and they were freely incised. The child got rapidly worse, and died in a few hours. The treatment in this case did, at least, no good, let us hope no harm. Like Mr. Owen, I shall continue to carry the instrument in my waisteoat-pocker (for I was brought up on the gumdancet) and use it, if the physical signs so indicate; but, in my experience, these circumstances are not so frequently necessary as your correspondents seem to think.

Mr. Paramore says I am under a misaporchension about the practice of lancing the gums being in disfavour on the Continent and in America. Allow me, sir, in conclusion, to quote a short paragraph from the fourth edition of the standard American treatise on the Discusses of Infancy, by Dr. Lewis Smith, Olimical Professor of Discusses of Children at New York.

"The gum-lancet is now much less frequently employed than formerly. It is used more by the ignorant practitioner, who is deficient in the ability to diagnosticate obscure discases, than by one of intelligence, who can discrem more clearly the true pathological state. Its use is more frequent in some countries, as England, under the teaching of great names, than in others, as France, where the highest authorities, as Rillet and Barthez, discommenance it."

With these sentiments I entirely concur.—Yours truly,
Liverpool.

MERCANTILE MARINE SURGEONS.

Mercantile Marine Surgeoss.

Sin,—I read with much pleasure the letter which appeared in your Journal of December 6th from Surgeon-Major Evatt. In it he suggests a new channel by which the status of the mercantile marine surgeons can be improved, making them more independent to carry out the necessary sanitary laws in the emigrant services, which so justly commends itself to our early consideration. Many letters have from time to time appeared in your influential Journal on this subject, but I do not remember any in which an association, with branches in the leading ports, was ever proposed. This idea, to my mind, appears certainly feasible.

During the past, little attention has been paid to ship-sanitation in England. possibly in some measure owing to the small power possessed by medical officers to ships. Surely the dreadful havoc which cholera has made in some of the seaport towns in Southern Europe during the past year should be a warning to civilised England, and stimulate the authorities that be to act promptly in this all important matter.

With reference to the formation of a medical staff in connection with the Royal Naval Reserve, I am sure, if it were properly represented to Government, they would grant commissions to the mercantile marine surgeons in the same way as the volunteers are supplied from the ranks of the general practitioners of

way as the volunteers are supplied and all the England.

These subjects are so full of importance, not only to a large branch of the profession, but also to the community generally, that I think this ought to be taken up by the British Medical Association.—I remain, sir, yours faithfully, Chesterfield.

J. Goodwin Shea, L.R.C.S.I., L.K.Q.C.P.I., Late Surgeon American Line.

COMMUNICATIONS, LETTERS, etc., have been received from:

Mr. A. H. Benson, Dublin; Our Glasgow Correspondent; Mr. Larner, London; Mr. C. F. Hawkins, Clifton; Mr. E. Vickers Whitby, Birmingham; Dr. Styrap, Shrewsbury; Mr. W. M. Shirrock, London; Mr. G. A. Rac, Devonport; Dr. Theodore Williams, London; Mr. H. M. Baylis, Southport; Dr. P. Maury Deas, Exeter; The Secretary of the Mahomed Memorial Fund; Mr. Louis King, Bath; Professor G. Rummo, Naples; Mr. J. Campbell, Greenock; Mr. R. McDougall, Sydney; Mr. R. J. Bryden, Gravesend: Dr. A. S. Underhill. Tipton; Dr. J. W. Hunt, London; Sir W. Guyer Hunter, London; Dr. Alder Smith, London; Mr. J. Gopal, Lahore; The Secretary of the Pathological Society, London; The Secretary of the Clinical Society, London; Mr. H. Lewis Jones, Chatham; Mr. C. Bernard Voisey, London; Our Birmingham Correspondent; Dr. Argyll Robertson, Edinburgh; Mr. T. Sutton Townsend, London; Mr. Edmund Johnson, London; Mr. Enoch Snell, Nottingham; Dr. Cosgrave, Dublin; Dr. A. Newsholme, London; Mr. W. T. Elliott, Birmingham; Mr. John Hannay, Shrewsbury; Mr. J. W. Taylor, Birmingham; Mr. W. S. Stables, Bath; M. O. H.; Mr. F. Dodgson, Cockermouth; Mr. Ralph Stockman, Edinburgh; Mr. Simcon Snell, Sheffield; Dr. E. Casey, Windsor; Our Liverpool Correspondent; Dr. Maunsell, Bath; Mr. C. S. Loch, London; Dr. R. J. McCormack, Curragh; Mr. C. W. Purves, Nottingham; Mr. James Startin, London; Mr. C. J. Booth, London; Mr. J. T. Paterson, London; Our Belfast Correspondent; Our Dublin Correspondent; Dr. Stevenson, London; Dr. H. Campbell Pope, London; Mr. H. Seymour Branfoot, Brighton; Mr. C. H. Hill, London; Mr. S. A. Tidey, London; Dr. R. Jeffreys, Chesterfield; Mr. C. B. Meller, Newport; Dr. S. Warren, Rathmines: Mr. A. Fore, Dublin; Mr. H. L. Jones, Chatham; Mr. C. L. Drew, Bournemouth; Mr. J. G. Blackman, Portsmouth; Mr. W. Williams, Abergele; Mr. J. A. Shaw, London; Mr. J. Ackery, London; Dr. W. W. Ireland, Prestonpans; Dr. P. Horrocks, London; Mr. E. Garraway, Faversham; Our Manchester Cor. esp ondent; Dr. Sieveking, Mr. E. Garraway, Faversham; Our Manchester Cor.cs; ondent; Dr. Sieveking, London; Mr. H. T. Wharton, London; Mrs. Sidney, London; Mr. D. Archibald, St. Andrews; Mr. J. Paul Bush, Bristol; The Secretary of the London Hospital; Mr. Boyd Joll, Liverpool; Dr. Heywood Smith, London; Dr. Swilks, London; Mr. A. G. Osborne, Dover; The Secretary of the University of Cambridge; Mr. A. W. Wallace, Parsonstown, Ireland; Mr. J. R. Lunn, London; Mr. R. B. Wylld, Withington; Mr. A. B. Lipptrot, Liverpool; Our Aberdeen Correspondent; Our Berlin Correspondent; Our Edinburgh Correspondent; Mr. H. C. Goldsmith, Southsea; Mr. J. Poland, London; Mr. E. Condon; Mr. W. M. Sturrock, London; Mr. E. Kennedy, Gorton; Dr. J. Althaus, London; Dr. Dakin, London; Dr. Moore, Dublin; Mr. J. V. Fitzgerald, Birmingham; Mr. F. A. Eaton, London; Mr. J. V. Titley, Brigg; The Clerk of the Hants County Asylum, Fareham; Dr. F. B. Palmer, East Sheen; Dr. G. Johnson, London, etc.

## BOOKS, ETC., RECEIVED.

The Anatomy of the Horse; A Dissection Guide. By A. M'Fadyen, M.B., C.M. Edinburgh and London: W. and A. K. Johnston. 1884.
Nature's Hygiene; A Systematic Manual of Natural Hygiene. By C. T. Kingzett, F.I.C., F.C.S. London: Ballière, Tyndall and Cox. 1884.
The Helper Preacher; Sketches and Incidents of Medical Mission Work. By George Saunders, M.D., C.B. London: J. F. Shawand Co. 1884.
The Pharmacopecia of the British Hospital for Diseases of the Skin. By B. Squire,

The Pharmacopeia of the British Hospital for Diseases of the Skin. By B. Squire, M.B. London: J. and A. Churchill. 1884.
Helps to Health; The Habitation, The Nursery, The Schoolroom, and The Person, With a Chapter on Pleasure and Health-Resorts. By Henry C. Burdett. London: Kegan Paul, Trench and Co. 1884.
The Encyclopedic Dictionary. Part XII. London: Cassell and Co. 1884.
The Ear; Its Anatomy, Physiology, and Diseases. By C. H. Burnett, A.M., M.D. London: J. and A. Churchill.
Practical Pathology. By G. Sims Woodhead, M.D., F.R.C.P. Edinburgh: Y. J. Pentland.

J. Pentland.
Transactions of the Sanitary Institute of Great Britain; Congress at Glasgow.

London : E. Stanford. The Calendar of the University College of South Wales and Monmouthshire. Second Session, 1884-5. Cardiff: D. Owen and Co. 1884.

Clinical Lectures. By R. Quain, F.R.S. With 40 Plates. London: Smith, Elder and Co. 1884.

## SCALE OF CHARGES FOR ADVERTISEMENTS IN THE "BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL."

Seven lines and under .. 0 0 Each additional line A whole column ... .. . . . . .. 5 0 0 A page .. An average line contains eight words.

When a series of insertions of the same advertisement is ordered, a discount is made on the above scale in the following proportions, beyond which no reduction can be allowed.

For 6 insertions, a deduction of 10 per cent. 

postage-stamps.