"J. R. R." writes: In the past twenty years I have treated many patients with this condition by means of x rays of medium penetration, administering about four-fifths of the full dose through a 0.2 mm. aluminium filter, at intervals of three weeks for several doses. The treatment cures many patients, and produces great improvement in most. I have never seen any ill effects from it.

INCOME TAX.

Private Use of Car.

- "W. S. G." uses his car occasionally for private purposes, and consequently has been allowed only six-sevenths of the running costs. He has now replaced his car, and the inspector of taxes now objects to allowing him more than three-fourths of the cost of replacement. Is this correct?
- * * If a car is used partially for private purposes it is a fact that the whole of the cost cannot be allowed, but it is, of course, a difficult matter to decide what is a reasonable percentage to exclude from the income tax statement. Mileage is probably the best basis, but it is seldom available for reference, as few (if any) practitioners find it worth while to keep the necessary records. Probably "W. S. G." will find it impossible to get away from the six-sevenths basis, but he seems to have good grounds for contending that the replacement cost should be dealt with on the same footing, and six-sevenths, not three-quarters, allowed.

Sale of Practice.

- "O. B. E." sold his practice as from June 30th, 1929. His successor purchased the "collector's book debts," but the other debts are being paid to "O. B. E." direct. How should his liability be calculated?
- ** We assume that our correspondent has in the past accounted for his liability on the "cash basis," and that there are no special reasons which suggest that that basis was unfair to the Revenue. On that assumption it is clear that "O. B. E." is not liable to assessment on the debts collected after he sold the practice, because they represent earnings of years for which he has accounted for his income tax liability. His liability to assessment, therefore, for the period April 5th to June 30th, when he sold the practice, is the tax due on the amount of the earnings of the practice for that actual period; further, the Revenue is entitled to revise the assessment for the year to April, 1929, substituting for the amount of the assessment the amount of the profits of the practice of that year.

LETTERS, NOTES, ETC.

RADIOTHERAPY IN SKIN DISEASES.

DR. C. H. C. DALTON (Ipswich) writes: I feel that I cannot allow the remarks of Dr. Harvey on the above subject (December 28th, 1929, p. 1202) to pass without comment. A little time ago I was asked to treat a man who was suffering from a severe attack of herpes zoster of seven weeks' duration. The distribution of the lesions was considerable, and pain was more severe at this time than it had been previously. Second stage crythema doses were given to the areas of the lesions with the mercury vapour lamp, and considerable relief was experienced, even after the first application. After six exposures, spread over a period of a fortinight, the patient was almost completely relieved of his pain. lu my opinion this form of treatment gives great relief in severe cases of herpes zoster.

REVOCABLE STERILIZATION OF THE FEMALE.

Dr. Binnie Dunlor (London, S.W.), in the course of a letter on the above subject, writes: It would seem that in Gräfenberg's ring (British Medical Journal, December 14th, 1929, p. 1134) we have at last a contraceptive so perfect as to provide a solution even for the problem of high-grade mentally deficient girls, unless it is objected that sterilization which is revocable is not to be advised in their case. I hope that many gynaecologists will now begin to test Gräfenberg's method, and that the ring and the inserting probe will soon be made in England.

THE INCIDENCE OF CANCER.

THE INCIDENCE OF CANCER.

MR. BRIC YULE, F.R.C.S. (Nuneaton), writes with reference to the preliminary note on an investigation into the incidence, epidemiology, and ecology of cancer in Westmorland, which appeared in the Journal of December 7th, 1929 (p. 1062). He recalls that a rather similar investigation was made by a committee appointed in March, 1898, by the Birmingham and Midland Counties Branch, a report being published in the Birmingham Medical Review, May to July, 1900. The chairman of the committee was Dr. R. M. Simon, and the honorary secretary Dr. E. N. Nason of Nuneaton. Mr. Yule calls attention to the fact that many of the conclusions then reached are in accord with the opinions expressed by the Westmorland Field Commission. Cancer was found to occur more frequently in old than in new houses and districts, and some indication was forthcoming of its possible transmissibility some indication was forthcoming of its possible transmissibility from one person to another; there was abundant evidence of the existence of groups of houses in which cancer was found with marked frequency, second and third cases appearing in the same house more often than could be explained by coincidence. A damp, ill-drained, waterlogged soil was said to be more

frequently associated with a high cancer death rate than a dry, well-drained one, and prolonged contamination of the soil with decomposing organic matter was suggested as a factor in the production of the high cancer death rate.

DIPLOMAS IN OBSTETRICS.

Dr. E. R. SMITHARD (London, N.15) writes: You have of late been giving us information about the new British College of Obstetgiving us information about the new British College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, and in the Journal of December 21st, 1929 (p. 1173), you quote the president, Professor Blair Bell, as saying "soon the council hoped to discuss... the granting of a diploma in obstetrics and gynaecology." Surely the time has now come for a word of protest at this incessant multiplication of diplomas and qualifications in the realm of medicine. What is the object of the diploma? Is it merely to serve, like the D.P.H., D.P.M., D.L.O., etc., as a mark of proficiency in a specialty, or is it the thin end of the wedge in bringing about a triple qualification instead of the conjoint of the two older D.P.H., D.P.M., D.L.O., etc., as a mark of proficiency in a specialty, or is it the thin end of the wedge in bringing about a triple qualification instead of the conjoint of the two older Colleges? If the former, it seems unnecessary, since we gather (from Professor Blair Bell's address) that the membership of the College will be open to the specialists in obstetrics and gynaecology as well as to the quasi-specialists in maternity work in the public health services; if the latter, surely it is again unnecessary, as being already provided for. What is the object of making a triple conjoint lettering instead of the present double one? We appear to be aping the engineers in the incredible length of the abbreviations after our names. And, further, if we are going to separate midwifery and gynaecology from general medicine and surgery, then why stop there? Why not a college of laryngologists, or a college of proctologists, each with its own qualifying examination and diploma; each with its grand council, fellows, members, and "general expenses of not less than \$£100,000"? Do let us try to preserve proportion. It is said that the College will be useful, inter alia, to stimulate research into such questions as maternal morbidity. But just because there is at the moment a parrot-cry of "3,000 mothers' lives wasted every year," that in itself is surely no reason why the medical profession, through membership and diploma fees, should be fleeged to the extent of £100,000. Besides this, the College, as an entity, is superfluous. There are obviously very few advances to be made in obstetrics, while gynaecology is a mere and minor branch of surgery; and, anyhow, there is ample research work going on at the present time for the 3,000 mothers without the aid of the new College. Without the aid of the new College.

AUTOMATIC MACHINES FOR THE SALE OF DRUGS.

THE president of the Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain HE president of the Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain (Mr. L. Moreton Parry) has uttered an important warning in the name of his council on the dangers of automatic machines for the sale of drugs. He deplores the use of such contrivances, which has recently been on the increase, for the reason that when poisons or potent drugs are sold in this way none of the safeguards contemplated by pharmacy legislation can be observed. The restrictions involved in such legislation were improved to ensure the presence of someone ship to give advice imposed to ensure the presence of someone able to give advice to a purchaser as to how a substance was to be used, to give warning when special care was necessary, and also to refuse the supply of substances when they did not appear to be needed for a proper purpose, or when the purchaser was not a safe person to be trusted with them. With an automatic delivery machine there is nothing to prevent a child obtaining poisons such as potassium permanganate, or potent drugs such as aspirin, nor is there any guarantee against mistakes, such as taking packets from the wrong section. Mr. Parry begs members of his society to refuse to install automatic machines for this purpose on their premises, and we feel sure that his timely warning will be endorsed by the medical profession.

CORRIGENDA.

- CORRIGENDA.

 THE National Radium Commission requests us to correct two statements which appeared in our article on "The Cancer Problem in 1929" (January 4th, p. 26). In discussing the investigations conducted under the British Empire Cancer Campaigu we stated that "with the radium bought by the National Radium Trustees it is proposed to construct several such [radium] bombs." The Council of the British Empire Cancer Campaign in its sixth annual report, presented at a meeting held at the House of Lords on July 8th, 1929, made the following announcement: "With the increased supply of radium which will shortly be available as a result of the National Radium Fund, it is proposed that several such bombs shall be available for the treatment of cases." The Radium Commission now informs us that it is not its present policy to purchase any other bombs than the one on loan at Westminster Hospital. It further asks us to state that, while the question of establishing a radon plant has been under consideration, nothing definite has yet been settled.
- MR. GEORGE MACKAY should have been described as Consulting Ophthalmic Surgeon to the Edinburgh Royal Infirmary (not "Consulting Surgeon") in the heading to his article on "Control of Ocular Disease of Venereal Origin," published on January 11th (p. 61).

VACANCIES.

Notifications of offices vacant in universities, medical colleges, and of vacant resident and other appointments at hospitals, will be found at pages 51, 54, 55, 58, 59, 60, 61, and 62 of our advertisement columns, and advertisements as to partnerships, assistantships, and locumtenencies at pages 56 and 57.

A short summary of vacant posts notified in the advertisement

columns appears in the Supplement at page 23.