describing as being unsuccessfully performed, in the absence of any proof to the contrary, and the all but impossibility of the occurrence of the above contingencies with the customary precision observed in making the incision, and the blunt instrument afterwards lightly and skilfully used. And I contend still further, as I did in my evidence—never reported—that I did not see why this operation should not be revived, engaging as it does structures so much less vital than those obtained in the linear operations, to wit, with some modifications, such as crushing the lens and extracting it piecemeal
—as is, I believe, sometimes done in the linear—and supersede those operations.

We now know that much greater liberties can be taken with the peritoneum than would have been probably dreamt of some years ago. And I do not see why, with the incision slightly widened in the sclerotic, the lens could not safely be extracted en bloc. The revival of one operation, not a whit less important than the one under consideration, is well known, namely, the high or suprapubic operation for stone, which had been superseded by an operation introduced close upon a century ago by Cheselden, an illustrious intellectual athlete in anatomy and surgery, and who scorned the difficulties and dangers attending the lateral operation for lithotomy, which slight modification held its sway for wellnigh a century, then to be contested and reintroduced by Sir Henry Thompson, another veteran lateral lithotomist, who has, I believe, in a comparatively short time, considering the issue, carried the day without a dissentient voice, so that the high operation is again pro tanto un fait accompli and universally recognised and practised—if I may now except that distinguised veteran lateral lithotomist, Cadge, of Norwich.

Who knows but that the humble and persecuted Indian eye-doctor may not yet be the unwitting pioneer of an improved operation, to be still further revived, with a success equal to Sir Henry Thompson, by some veteran English ophthalmic surgeon? We now know that much greater liberties can be taken with the peritoneum

revived, with a success equal to Sir Henry Thompson, by some veteran English ophthalmic surgeon?

It is quite true that I expressed my satisfaction with Abdulkrem's operation, and certainly did give it as my opinion that he was a dexterous and skilful operator, and for coolness, nerve, delicacy, and precision of manipulation equal to any of the first operators of the day, most of whom I have witnessed. In consequence of this I was subpænaed and again fully reiterated my former opinion in artidence before the court

In consequence of this I was subpœnaed and again fully reiterated my former opinion in evidence before the court.

Abdulkrem is qualified, and holds a diploma from the Lahore College, which I have seen myself, and, until it is proved to the contrary, I have a right to accept this as an incontrovertible fact, and it was on the strength of this I went to witness the operation.

Your reference to the shovelful of burning cinders charm is absurd. It is no charm at all, and is used as an aseptic, and a much superior one to carbolic spray from the amount of sulphur fumes evolved, which it goes without saying is the best chemical antiseptic or germicide extant. The brickbat is another exaggeration equally absurd. A piece of brick is simply put into the fire and heated to a proper temperature, and then folded up in a doubled piece of flannel and applied round the eye to relieve pain and inflammation, as recommended by Nettleship by the means of heated rolls of cotton-wool, but, from its superiority in retaining heat, much better.

ERRATA.—In our last issue, p. iii of programme, for "J. C. McVail, M.D., F.F.P.S.G.," read "J. C. M'Vail, M.D., D.P.H.Camb."—The remarks made by Dr. A. Hill Griffith, in the report of the meeting of the Manchester Medico-Ethical Association in the JOURNAL of December 14th, page 1375, were incorrectly given. Dr. Griffith really said that the Secretary of the Manchester Royal Eye Hospital, who entered every case, was fully empowered to make inquiry and refuse admission to such a case.

COMMUNICATIONS, LETTERS, etc., have been received from:

Sir Joseph Lister, London; Mr. W. Davis, Peckham; Dr. A. Money, London; Dr. J. Hinshelwood, Glasgow; B. Squire, M.B., London; Dr. T. W. Hime, Bradford; Mr. G. Nicholls, Birmingham; Dr. O. Wood, London; Dr. Willoughby, London; Mr. H. Birkenhead, Wigan; Mr. R. Roberts, Blaenau-Festiniog; Mr. J. F. Fry, Shepton Mallet; Mr. H. Goodwyn, Bovey Tracey; Mr. C. G. May, London; Dr. J. A. Myrtle, Harrogate; Dr. F. A. Gange, Farnham; Mr. W. Joyce, London; Dr. D. W. Williams, Truro; Mr. S. Paget, London; Mr. W. Briggs, Arbroath; Dr. Seaton, London; Mr. G. C. Peachey, Portslade; Mr. C. A. Patten, Ealing; Dr. P. Boobbyer, Nottingham; Dr. G. Hogg, Chiswick; Our Birmingham Correspondent; Mr. R. R. Sleman, London; Mr. E. Ball, Southport; Dr. G. Mundie, Canterbury; Dr. J. B. Tuke, Edinburgh; Mr. J. W. Greenwood, Hanley; Dr. A. W. Stirling, Grays; The Secretary of the Medico-Chirurgical Society, Aberdeen; Mr. W. J. Wordsworth, West Brighton; Nil Desperando; Messrs. Sharp and Kent, London; Mr. G. A. Berry, Edinburgh; Dr. W. M. Kelly, Taunton; Our Oxford Correspondent; Mr. W. L. Rollo, London; Dr. T. Wood, London; Dr. L. Atthill, Dublin; Dr. J. Oliver, London; Dr. R. H. Wagner, Plymouth; Mr. J. Russell, Sandhurst; Tell; Mr. L. Mark, London; W. J. P.; Mr. W. Woodward, Bodmin; Mr. G. C. Morrison, Reigate; Mr. A. Moore, London; Mr. W. Bassett, Newport; Mr. Adams Frost, London; Biceps; Dr. J. Phillips, London; Dr. G. Thin, London; Dr. E. O. Ashe, Louth; Dr. A. Henry, London; Dr. T. G. Garry, Liverpool; Mr. R. Dobell, Truro; Messrs. Burroughs, Wellcome, and Co., London; Mr. W. B. Cosens, Taunton; Our Edinburgh Correspondent; Our Paris Correspondent; Mr. F. Round, Southport; M. B. L.; Mr. J. H. Harris, Modbury; A Stickler; Dr. A. Napier, Glasgow; Rev. H. P. Wright, Greatham; A Subscriber; Dr. C. Coombs, Castle Cary; Charcoal; Dr. C. E. Glascott, Manchester; Dr. W. R. Cossham, Cirencester; Dr. H. Dobell, London; Mr. J. B. Blackett, London; Dr. C. H. Allfrey, Brighton; Mr. H. T. Sells, Northfleet; Mr. H. Sieveking, Johannesburg; Dr. Mickle, London; Dr. G. Harley, London; Mr. A. H. F. Cameron, Liverpool; Mr. A. H. Jackson, Wolverhampton; Dr. J. C. McVail, Kilmarnock; Dr. E. Berdoe, London; Miss Deane, London; Mr. R. J. Pye-Smith, Sheffield; Mr. R. Hagyard, Hull; Mr. W. F. B. Eadon, Hambrook; Mr. F. L. Wood, Heaton Moor; Dr. E. J. Edwardes, London;

Mr. T. Holmes, London: Enquirer: Dr. A. H. Griffith, Manchester; Mr. T. A. Collinson, Hanwell; Nemo; Mr. J. M. Farquharson, Edinburgh; Dr. W. P. Northrup, New York; Surgeon-Major Samuel Smith, Bristol; Mr. F. B. Jones, Leicester; Dr. F. Warner, London; Mr. J. B. Lawford, London; Mr. G. Steell, Manchester; Dr. L. Parkes, London; Mr. G. Smith, Upper Clapton; Dr. C. R. Drysdale, London; The Director-General of the Naval Medical Department; Dr. G. E. J. Greene, Ferns; Dr. N. E. Davies, Sherborne; Dr. Ward Cousins, Southsea; Dr. Railton, Manchester; Dr. F. Payne, London; Dr. Brailey, London; Dr. N. Kerr, London; Mr. W. W. Wagstaffe, Sevenoaks: Mr. T. R. Scott, Portsmouth; Messrs. C. Griffin and Co., London; M.S.; Dr. Hugh Woods, London; J. Lloyd, M.B., Birmingham; Dr. Rentoul, Liverpool; Mr. T. Fielding, Blandford; Dr. J. Byers, Belfast; Dr. Macpherson, London; Mr. S. O. Bishop, Upper Assam; Dr. R. Kirkwood, Largs; Dr. T. Cranstoun Charles, London; Dr. Clement Godson, London; Mr. Douglas, Hounslow; Messrs. Cassell and Co., London; Mr. E. White Wallis, London; Professor E. Porro, Milan; The Secretary of the University of London; Mr. C. B. Rendle, Stoke; Dr. Dudley Buxton, London; Dr. Edridge-Green, London; Surgeon H. D. Rowan, Punjab; Mr. F. A. Davis, London; Mr. O. Bowen, Everton; Surgeon E. D. Farmer-Bringhurst, Bournemouth; Manna; Mr. R. J. Godlee, London; Dr. E. F. Neve, Kashmir; Mr. C. B. Lockwood, London; Mr. S. Keith, Edinburgh; The Secretary of the Victoria University, Manchester; Mr. W. Wallis, Hartfield: C. H. Mallett, M.B., Axminster; Messrs. Clay and Abraham, Liverpool; Dr. A. H. Corley, Dublin; Mr. S. G. Denton, London; L.R.C.P.E.; Mr. J. H. Wormer, Sherborne; Aggrieved; Mr. A. E. Pearson, Leeds; Dr. R. M. G. Binnie, Brandon Colliery; Mr. G. E. Williamson, Newcastle-on-Tyne; Mr. P. P. Burroughs, Weston-super-Mare; A Member; Mr. F. Kerslake, London; Dr. Steele, Morley; Mr. W. J. Gooch, Windsor; L. M. C. T.; A. W. L. G.; J. Adam, M.B., Hamilton; Mr. T. H. R. Crowge, London; Mr. R. Stack, Omagh; Dr. H. Handford, Nottingham; Mr. J. Russell, Sandhurst; Mr. A. Carter, London; Mr. H. Buxton, Ripon; Dr. R. Chicken, Nottingham ; Sir W. J. Moore, London ; Mr. D. Hughes, Deal ; Dr. Thursfield, Shrewsbury; Mr. W. J. Serjeant, London; Dr. Steele, London; Dr. B. O'Connor, London; M.S.; Dr. Grainger Stewart, Edinburgh; Our Vienna Correspondent, etc.

BOOKS, ETC., RECEIVED.

Messrs, Macmillan and Co.

Messrs, Macmillan and Co.

Tom Brown's Schooldays. By an Old Boy.

The Scouring of the White Horse, and the Ashen Faggot. By the Author of Tom Brown's Schooldays.

Mr. Isaacs; a Tale of Modern India.

Cressy. By Bret Harte.

Flowers of Paradise. By R. F. Hallward.

History and Pathology of Vaccination. Vol. I. A Critical Inquiry. By Edgar M. Crookshank, M.B. London: H. K. Lewis. 1889.

A Manual of Nursing. By Chas. J. Cullingworth, M.D. Third Edition, revised. London: J. and A. Churchill. 1889.

Hazell's Annual for 1890. Edited by E. D. Price, F.G.S. London: Hazell, Watson, and Viney.

Ssay on Medical Pneumatology. By J. N. Demarquay. Philadelphia: F. A. Davis. 1889.

Master of His Fate. By J. Maclaren Cobban. London: W. Blackwood and Sons.

Treatise on Materia Medica, Pharmacology, and Therapeutics. By John V. Shoemaker, M.A., M.D., and John Aulde, M.D. In two vols. Philadelphia: F. A. Davis. 1889.

the Geographical Distribution of some Tropical Diseases. By R. W. Felkin, M.D., F.R.S.E., F.R.G.S. Edinburgh: Young J. Pentland. 1889.

SCALE OF CHARGES FOR ADVERTISEMENTS IN THE "BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL."

Seven lines and und		•••	•••			£0	3	6		
Each additional line	,					U	0	4		
A whole column		•••	•••			1	15	0		
	•••	•••	•••	•••		5	0	0		
An average line contains seven words.										

When a series of insertions of the same advertisement is ordered, a discount is made on the above scale in the following proportions, beyond which no reduction can be allowed.

For 6 insertions, a deduction of						10 per cent.		
,, 12 or 13	3					20		
., 26	,,					25	,,	
,, 52						30	,,	
Special terms for	r occasi	onal cha				99 •	**	
20 per cent	ifno	t loss the	n 26 na	ree he to	ken \ or	thoir a	anivalent	
	. 11 110	r ress tire		ges be ta	Ken Joi	in ha	quivaient	
25		,,	52	,,	7	шца		

For these terms the series must, in each case, be completed within twelve months from

the date of first insertion.

Advertisements should be delivered, addressed to the Manager at the Office, not later than noon on the Wednesday preceding publication; and, if not paid for at the time, should be accompanied by a reference.

Post-Office Orders should be made payable to the British Medical Association at the West Central Post-Office, High Holborn. Small amounts may be paid in postage-stamps.

NOTICE.—Advertisers are requested to observe that it is contrary to the Postal Regulations to receive at Post-Offices letters addressed to initials only.