


What's wrong with medical education?



Many medical graduates are unprepared for the realities of being a doctor. After five years of absorbing factual information, their creative thinking and problem solving skills are poor. Something is wrong with the way students are being taught.

In *Medical Education* Stella Lowry has put together the current views from the

profession, the GMC's recent review, and a national consensus inquiry by the King's fund. She examines issues such as:

- Selecting and assessing students
- Changing curricula
- Improving the quality of teaching
- Reorganising the preregistration year

The arguments and proposals for improvement are explained clearly, enabling all those involved in teaching medicine – virtually all doctors – to contribute to the debates. The book also provides a valuable insight, for teachers and students alike, into the way forward for medical education.

BMJ
PUBLISHING GROUP

ISBN 0 7279 0789 1 112 pages 1993 UK £6.95, Overseas £8.00 (BMA Members £6.45, £7.50)

ORDER FORM

Available from: **British Medical Journal**, P.O. Box 295, London WC1H 9TE, medical booksellers or the **BMJ** bookshop in BMA House

Please send me _____ copy/ies of **MEDICAL EDUCATION**

Membership No. _____

Name _____
(Print Clearly)

Cheque enclosed (made payable to British Medical Journal) £ _____
Debit my **AMERICAN EXPRESS/VISA/MASTERCARD**

Address _____

Card No. _____ **Exp** _____

Signature _____

Postcode _____

☐ Please send me a **BMJ PUBLISHING GROUP CATALOGUE**

What do we really know about editorial peer review in scientific publication?

**Something,
but not enough.**

- We know that peer review is widely used, but how widely?
- We know that peer review suffers from bias and conflicts of interest, but what biases and conflicts really matter? And how do we get rid of them?
- We fear that peer review suppresses innovation, but to what extent?
- We know that peer review has existed for years without scientific proof of its worth, but will it hold up under the same rigor and scrutiny we demand of science itself?

To answer many of these questions, editors, scientists, and scholars will gather to present and discuss research findings at the Second International Congress on Peer Review in Biomedical Publication, September 9-11, 1993, at the Fairmont Hotel in Chicago, Illinois.

The Second International Congress on Peer Review in Biomedical Publication

September 9-11, 1993 Chicago, Illinois

Topics will include

- The mechanisms of peer review and editorial decision making in different journals, including blind review
- The relationships between authors, editors, and reviewers, and how each is educated, selected, and evaluated
- Allocation of responsibility for published material and the meaning of authorship
- Quality assurance and standards for reviewers and editors
- Breakdowns, weaknesses, and biases in the system
- Conflicts of interests
- Fraud and scientific misconduct
- Peer review of grant proposals

The subject of the Congress is biomedical publication, but scholars in other disciplines are urged to participate, so that we may examine editorial peer review in the context of the overall scientific enterprise.

For more information, contact

Jane Smith, European Coordinator, Peer Review Congress,
BMJ, BMA House, Tavistock Square, London WC1H 9JR, England;
071-387-4499, 071-383-6418 fax.

American Medical Association

