# BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL



SATURDAY 10 FEBRUARY 1973

### **LEADING ARTICLES**

Hyperactive Children page 305 Treatment of Malignant Melanoma page 306 Particles in Veins page 307 Awareness of Tuberculosis page 308 Unexpected Deaths of Babies page 308 Learning to be a Drinker page 309 Integration or Disintegration page 310

#### PAPERS AND ORIGINALS

Interactions between Sympathomimetic Amines and Antide	
	IKTER, B. N. C. PRICHARD
Changes in Plasma Y-Glutamyl Transpeptidase Activity Ass	ociated with Alterations in Drug Metabolism in Man
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	e-year Follow-up J. SIDNEY SMITH, S. BRANDON 318
	CLOW, A. C. CROMPTON
Serum Alkaline Phosphatase and Rickets in Urban Schoolch	
	ELY
Abnormal Excretion of Corticosteroid Sulphates in Patients	with Breast Cancer
	FF, A. LOICQ, JP. DE KOSTER, L. ENGLEHOLM, JP. GOVAERTS 330
Skin Ulceration Caused by Salmonella dublin W. CARSWELL,	L. T. MCGRATH
MEDICAL PRACTICE	
Ocular Injuries Caused by Airgun Pellets: An Analysis of 10	5 Cases D. I. BOWEN, DENISE M. MAGAURAN
A New Look at Infectious Diseases: Mumps J. A. GRAY	
Prophylactic Internal Fixation of Secondary Neoplastic Dep	posits in Long Bones MALCOLM FIDLER
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
• •	
S. BRADSHAW	
CORRESPONDENCE L'ALCONANT ACC	OBITUARY NOTICES360
CORRESPONDENCE—List of Contents	OBITUARY NOTICES
<b>BOOK REVIEWS</b>	SUPPLEMENT
<del></del>	"Chambers in Principle"—A Discussion
NEWS AND NOTES	Timetable for Chambers
Epidemiology—Actinomycosis	Joint Consultants Committee
Medicolegal—Award for Medical Negligence 365	B.M.A. Council—Incomes Policy and Review Body;
Parliament—Private Practice; Pakistani Doctors;	G.M.C.; Constitution of B.M.A.; Negotiations with
Hypothermia; Access to Hospital Records 367	R.H.C.S.A.; Medical Advisory Committees 39
Madical News—N U.S. Management Arrangements 267	Film Awards A

ASTM CODEN: BMJOAE 1 (5849) 305-368 (1973)

NO. 5849 BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL 1973 VOLUME 1 305-368
BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION TAVISTOCK SQUARE LONDON WC1H 9JR TELEPHONE 01-387 4499

## CORRESPONDENCE

Future of the B.M.A.
R. G. Gibson, F.R.C.S., F.R.C.G.P.; M. Sim,
F.R.C.P.ED.; E. R. Dansie, D.P.H.; J. K.
Murphy, M.B.; M. Goodman, M.R.C.G.P350
Hammer and Eye
D. Gordon, D.M.R.D.; C. F. Eminson,
D.O.M.S351
An Integrated Child Health Service
K. S. Holt, F.R.C.P352
P.B.I./E.T.R. for Routine Screening of
Thyroid Function
Margot Boss, B.SC., and others352
Non-pharmacological Influences on Thera-
peutic Efficacy
A. Kaldor, M.D., and others352
Nitrazepam Nightmares
R. H. Girdwood, F.R.C.P353
Nurses for Nursing
P. R. Uldall, M.D
Care of the Dying
W. R. Moore, M.R.C.P353
Anthrax
M. Ellis, F.R.C.S354
Lead Poisoning from Contaminated Opium
B. L. Chia, M.R.A.C.P., and others354
Hepatoma and Hepatitis-associated Anti-

Severe Hyponatraemia in Hyperlipaemic Diabetic Ketosis J. M. Rawles, M.R.C.P357
Skin Reaction to Isopropyl Alcohol A. McInnes, M.B
Drugs in Infertility G. L. Foss, M.D357
Psychiatric Day Care T. M. Cuthbert, D.P.M357
Intramuscular Injections and Coagulation Defects S. Berkessy
Intestinal Pseudo-obstruction J. A. C. Neely, F.R.C.S
Administration of T.A.B. A. McGregor, M.D358
Clinical Freedom in the N.H.S. K. A. Exley, M.D358
G.M.C. Inquiry J. H. Marks, M.R.C.G.P., and J. S. Happel, F.R.C.G.P358
C.C.H.M.S. and Consultant Contract J. A. Riedel, F.R.C.S
General Practitioners and the E.E.C. A. J. Rowe, M.R.C.G.P359

#### Future of the B.M.A.

SIR,—I, too, have hesitated for a long time before adding my name to your list of correspondents on the "Chambers affair."

I. F. Ziegenfuss, M. D., and A. J. Weiss, M.D. 354

I feel driven to speak now for one particular reason: for several years we have worked hard in all fields—scientific, political, and social—to build up a strong B.MA. so that in times of crisis (or "war," if you like) we should have immediately available one single, tightly knit body to represent and fight for a united profession. That we succeed pretty well was illustrated in our confrontation with the last Government.

Yet we were always working under difficulties, for the present constitution of the B.M.A. is as dangerous as it is absurd. This has long been recognized, laughed at, jeered at, or worried about. It has, in particular, always been a matter of concern to those who are ultimately responsible for upholding the status and dignity of the profession against all comers. They know, as all thinking doctors must know, that if the B.M.A. were to fail there is no body in existence that could take its place.

In ordinary, everyday affairs the Associ-

ation manages pretty well; but when building up towards a confrontation with Government or to give evidence to the Review Body everyone intimately involved is aware of the agonizing exercise entailed in achieving even

an appearance of unity and of maintaining it throughout one entire episode (and episodes have a habit nowadays of following one after the other in rapid succession).

It can fairly be said that any exercise of this sort was only made to work because we knew that when it came to the crunch even the narrowest crack in our defences would be seized on by the other side with possible disastrous and irretrievable effects on the professional lives of every doctor, whether a member of the B.M.A. or not.

Recognizing this (for it still remains a fact) what on earth are we up to now? How can any member of Council or the Representative Body, of the General Medical Services Committee, or the Conference of Representatives of Local Medical Committees openly deny that if that part of the B.M.A. which represents 75% of general practitioners severs its relationship with the parent body it is at one and the same time committing suicide and bringing down with it every other discipline in the profession—large and small.

No excuse could be found for such naivety (if naivety it be). We ordinary members of the B.M.A. who also pay our dues to the G.M.S. Trust funds-and I should not be at all surprised if those who are not members of the B.M.A. are strangely alike to those who do not contribute to the G.M.S. Committee; in other words, are doctors who, for one reason or another, are obtaining everything for nothingwould do well to breathe a word of caution down the necks of our elected delegates and representatives and to draw their attention to the fact that this is no political game they are playing on our behalf but one which, with any false, ill-advised, or ill-considered move, could result in disaster for those they represent and bring the condemnation of future generations down on their heads.

Sir Paul Chambers has courageously and predictably grasped the nettle the Association has feared to touch over the past two decades or more. Why is it suddenly so

impossible for all concerned to sit round a table and extract from his report a stable and secure constitution for the B.M.A.? Why is time being made to be so short? Why is it apparently so heroic to refuse to join in discussion? Who is trying to lead us where—and why?

I submit that there is too much at stake for this unwarranted haste. Even if the discussions range over two years or more they should still be undertaken. Doctors do not want to be led blindly down an alley in which there is only room for one section of the profession. On the contrary, they need assurance that their elected leaders are concerned, first and last, with the welfare and the future of the profession as a whole. I do not believe that any referendum is required to prove this.

For all of us who wish our profession well, and who wish to continue as members of one profession, the alternative is too dreadful to contemplate.—I am, etc.,

RONALD GIBSON

Winchester

SIR,—The acceptance of "Chambers in principle" by a very substantial majority at the Special Representative Meeting (Supplement, 25 November, p. 55; 2 December, p. 78), instead of ushering in a period of serious debate and readjustment, is being met in some quarters with agitated threats of resignation from the B.M.A. That the issue should become so polarized is due, in part, to the two debates that took place at the S.R.M. The first question, which was whether Chambers should be accepted "in its entirety," was very thoroughly debated and failed to obtain the necessary two-thirds