## STA/STA

## BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL

**LEADING ARTICLES** 

190.00

SATURDAY 23 JUNE 1979

1//2

Confusion over death	Product liability
certification 1662	<b>GMC election</b>
New contract "on the shelf" 1662	Correction: ARM at Liverpool 1664
PAPERS AND ORIGINALS	
Deaths from rhesus haemolytic disease in England and Wales	s in 1977: accuracy of records and assessment of anti-D
prophylaxis SIR CYRIL CLARKE, A G W WHITFIELD	
ANTTI KAUPPILA, ILPO HUHTANIEMI, OLAVI YLIKORKALA	
Screening for thyroid dysfunction in diabetics JOHN FEELY, T E Angiosarcoma of liver associated with phenelzine T K DANESH. Multiple lipomas in pregnancy PETER S BENNY, JOHN MACVICAR. Reversible renal failure during treatment with captopril P R Correction: Postcards or outpatients? HART ET AL	#ATIONAL ACCESS FROM USTSAY 1679  FARROW, R WILKINSON FLOCIALO 1680  1680
	JUL 8 1979
MEDICAL PRACTICE	PROCUREMENT SECTION
Eyes run on light M J GILKES.  Dementia: supportive groups for relatives JOHN FULLER, EILEE Peer review of consultations in primary care: the use of audio Cis-platinum: a new anticancer agent. C J WILLIAMS, J M A WHIT Letter from East Nepal: Silver Jubilee trek to Mount Everest In My Own Time: Gout R I S BAYLISS.  New forms for references EDWARD HUTH  Congenital irresponsible escapism A J M CAVENAGH  Any Questions?  Materia Non Medica—Contributions from JOAN COTTON, A G JARRET Words  Medicine and Books  Personal View BRODIE HUGHES	EN WARD, ALISON EVANS, ALAN GARDNER, KAY MASSAM
CORRESPONDENCE—List of Contents	SUPPLEMENT
<b>OBITUARY</b> 1718	The Week       1719         HJS Conference       1720         GMC election 1979: BMA-sponsored candidates       1723
NEWS AND NOTES	Medical Act 1978 and the new GMC
Views	CCHMS rejects new contract pricing
Parliament—Questions in the Commons 1716	From the LMC Conferences: Annual Conference 1736
Medical News	Conference on GPs' new charter
<b>3MA Notices</b>	Review Body: community medicine, OMPs, and GPs 1739
instructions to authors	BMA's evidence: community medicine and OMPs 1741

BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL 23 JUNE 1979 1707

## **CORRESPONDENCE**

Preventing thromboembolic complications in high-risk	Photocoagulation and diabetic retinopathy	"Strange Encounters"  J D Spillane, FRCP
surgical patients	B L Hercules, FRCSED, and I I Gayed 1710	
M Adiseshiah, FRCS; R S Stubbs, MB 1707		
Dehydration and thromboembolism	P B Cotton, FRCP, and W R Lees, MB 1710	
Daphne H Line, MRCP, and Nicola H G	Operative cholangiography	J A Davis, FRCP
Whitaker, MRCP	B W Ellis, FRCS	
Training in urology	Tap water for electrocardiographic	P Hopkins, FRCGP
H N Whitfield, FRCS, and others; D Paine,		Tine and Mantoux tuberculin tests
FRCS		P R Bull, FRACP, and others 1712
	Saxophonist's diverticulosis	
Co-operation over surgical training	G J G Rees, MRCP	
Sir Hedley Atkins, FRCS, and others 1708	PUVA and solariums	
Medical manpower, staffing, and		MRCP, and others
training requirements	Amitriptyline plasma concentrations	
J J Shipman, FRCS, and others; M V L		T McFarlane, MRCOG
Foss, FRCS	S A Montgomery, MRCPSYCH, and others 1711 Historical fats	
Fibromuscular dysplasia	R Wigglesworth, FRCP	P J Hirsch, MRCOG
R Wilkinson, MRCP; M Aurell, MD 1709	Anaesthetic deaths and caesarean	
Coronary care	section	Correction: Health and safety at work
C C Lutton, MB		(Prentice)

We may return unduly long letters to the author for shortening so that we can offer readers as wide a selection as possible. We receive so many letters each week that we have to omit some of them. Letters must be signed personally by all their authors. We cannot acknowledge their receipt unless a stamped addressed envelope or an international reply coupon is enclosed.

## Preventing thromboembolic complications in high-risk surgical patients

SIR,—With reference to the paper "Failure of low-dose heparin to prevent significant thromboembolic complications in high-risk surgical patients" by the Groote Schuur Hospital Thromboembolus Study Group (2 June, p 1447) I would like to make one point.

The authors point out that it is possible to overlook the presence of proximal segment thrombosis if one relies on <sup>125</sup>I-fibrinogen uptake alone. Nor did Doppler ultrasound identify all cases of proximal thrombosis. These were the only methods used for detecting preoperative deep vein thrombosis, whereas the only effective method of detecting venous thrombosis—bilateral ascending phlebography—was understandably used only for postoperative detection.

It is a common clinical observation that not only patients waiting for operation but several other patients with diseases who would easily come under the category of patients undergoing "major elective abdominal surgery," as in this trial, would be susceptible to the development of thromboembolic phenomena without undergoing operation.

Established proximal segment thrombosis in the preoperative phase would probably predispose to postoperative proximal segment thrombosis and non-fatal (or even fatal) pulmonary embolism even when the patient was subjected to a "prophylactic" heparin regimen.

The presence of preoperative proximal segment thrombosis in this series is unknown-because, understandably, preoperative phlebography was not performed. I conclude that the deduction that "the routine use of low-dose heparin prophylaxis in all major surgical procedures in patients over 40 years may be pre-

mature on available evidence" is not valid.

It may be that prophylactic low-dose heparin should be administered to all patients who are to undergo major elective surgery immediately on admission—for example, while investigations are being carried out—rather than only two hours preoperatively. Even this may not help patients who have been ill for a time before admission and who may have already developed proximal segment thrombosis.

M Adiseshiah

Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge CB2 2QQ

SIR,—Having read with interest the interim report of the Groote Schuur Hospital Thromboembolus Study Group (2 June, p 1447) I should like to make some observations which lend support to the very trial which this group seeks to question—namely, the International Multicentre Trial reported initially in the *Lancet* in 1975.<sup>1</sup>

The study group's report confirms the nowestablished finding that low-dose heparin substantially reduces the incidence of deep venous thrombosis (DVT). The group suggests that this reduction is achieved solely by reducing the incidence of calf-vein DVT, yet it finds no concomitant reduction in the incidence of pulmonary embolism. This leads one to the conclusion that calf DVT is of no consequence in the development of pulmonary embolism. However, if one looks at the numbers of the group's patients diagnosed as having pulmonary embolism one finds that this exceeds the number who were shown to have DVT proximal to the calf veins. From this one draws the conclusion that the calf

vein thrombosis has indeed been the source of some of the pulmonary emboli. These two conflicting conclusions lead one to an inevitable third—something is wrong.

Close scrutiny shows a number of sources of error. I picked the following. Firstly, no mention is made of any treatment started in cases of diagnosed DVT. If anticoagulants were used one would not expect a significant difference in the numbers proceeding to pulmonary embolism, as the control and heparin groups would have merged. If anticoagulants were not used this too would affect the outcome. A difference in the number of patients with DVTs progressing to pulmonary embolism would be expected only if low-dose heparin were appropriate treatment for established DVT. This apparent no-win situation leads us to the realisation that what we must study is what was looked at in the International Multicentre Trial-the rate of fatal pulmonary embolism. What we are to profit by examining the occurrence of nonfatal pulmonary embolism by the seventh postoperative day I do not know. Finally, the accuracy in diagnosing pulmonary embolism by means of perfusion lung scans, even in the manner described, has been called into serious question by the work of Bell and Simon.2 They compared independent reports of perfusion scans with those of pulmonary angiograms. Their results indicated that the interpretation of the scans, even by experts, was, to say the least, difficult and correlated poorly with the findings of pulmonary angiography.

Having made these observations on the Groote Schuur Hospital Study, I do not see that this work can at all throw doubt on the unequivocal findings of the International Multicentre Trial.

R S STUBBS

Department of Surgery, Hammersmith Hospital, London W12 0HS

 International Multicentre Trial, Lancet, 1975, 2, 45.
 Bell, W R, and Simon, T L, American Heart Journal, 1976, 92, 700.