

BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL

U. S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LIBRARY

SATURDAY 31 MAY 1969 JUN 2 5 1969

LEADING ARTICLES

CURRENT SERIAL RECORDS

Intermittent Intravenous Methohexitone page 525 Invasion of Privacy page 526 Immunization against Rubella page 527 Research into Calcium Metabolism page 528 Nephrotic Syndrome in Adults page 529 The Paterson-Kelly Lesion page 530 Aberdeen Meeting page 530

PAPERS AND ORIGINALS

Rubella Vaccine Trial in Children I. B. HILLARY, P. N. MEENAN, A. H. GRIFFITH, C. C. DRAPER, AND G. D. LAURENCE	531
Nephrotic Syndrome Due to Primary Renal Disease in Adults: I. Survey of Incidence in South-east England P. SHARPSTONE, C. S. OGG, AND J. S. CAMERON	
Nephrotic Syndrome Due to Primary Renal Disease in Adults: II. A Controlled Trial of Prednisolone and Azathioprine P. SHARPSTONE, C. S. OGG, AND J. S. CAMERON	535
Physiological Responses to Intermittent Methohexitone for Conservative Dentistry	
C. C. WISE, JOHN S. ROBINSON, M. J. HEATH, AND P. J. TOMLIN	540
Malabsorption of Folate Polyglutamates in Tropical Sprue	
A. V. HOFFBRAND, T. F. NECHELES, N. MALDONADO, E. HORTA, AND R. SANTINI	543
Acidaemia and Salicylate Poisoning in Adults A. T. PROUDFOOT AND S. S. BROWN	547
Effect of Frusemide on Pulmonary Blood Volume	
M. L. BHATIA, INDER SINGH, S. C. MANCHANDA, P. K. KHANNA, AND SUJOY B. ROY	551
PRELIMINARY COMMUNICATIONS	
Oral Phenylalanine and Tyrosine Tolerance Tests in Parkinsonian Patients	
J. BRAHAM, IDA SAROVA-PINHAS, M. CRISPIN, R. GOLAN, N. LEVIN, AND A. SZEINBERG	552

MEDICAL MEMORANDA

Anterior Interosseous Nerve Palsy R. H. B. MILLS, K. MUKHERJEE, AND I. B. BASSETT	. 555
Cytogenetic Remission in Acute Transformation of Chronic Granulocytic Leukaemia	
O. MARGARET GARSON, M. A. BURGESS, AND LORRAINE G. STANLEY	. 556

MIDDLE ARTICLES

Cadaveric Kidneys for Transplantation R. Conferences and Meetings	Y. CALNE 565
Control of Tropical Endemic Disease-Anglo	-French
Symposium	568
Premalignant Lesions Affecting the Mouth, I	Pharynx,
and Larynx	570
Personal View A. WHITE FRANKLIN	

CLINICOPATHOLOGICAL CONFERENCE

The Case of the Persistent Pituitary...... 557

NEWS AND NOTES

Epidemiology	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	582
Medical News		583

CURRENT PRACTICE

Today's Drugs Any Questions?	Thyroid Hormones 5	i61 i82
BOOK REVI	EWS 5	63
CORRESPON	DENCE 5	572
OBITUARY N	NOTICES 5	579
SUPPLEMEN	T	
Annual Meeting,	Aberdeen: Programme	97 05

Annual Meeting, Aberdeen: Programme	97
Scottish Council	105
Scottish Committee for Hospital Medical Services	106
Motions for A.R.M.	111

Letters to the Editor should not exceed 500 words.

Publication of Patients' Names 572 R. E. W. Fisher, D.P.H.; J. E. H. Cogan, 572 Multiple Choice Examinations 572 Wultiple Choice Examinations 572 Multiple Choice Examinations 572 W. F. M. Wallace, M.D.; J. N. Haw-thorne, D.SC.; W. K. Cowan, M.D	Disodium Cromoglycate in Treatment of Asthma J. M. Smith, F.R.C.P.ED	 "Kwok's Quease" in Britain R. A. R. Wallace, F.R.C.S

Publication of Patients' Names

SIR,—On the wireless on 22 May I was shocked to hear the editor of the *Daily Telegraph* admit that he had carefully decided to publish the names of the recipient and the donor of a transplanted heart, despite the pleading of the doctors and the relatives that he should exercise some human pity and preserve silence. He said that it was in the public interest that the names should be published, and he claimed that this did not mean the satisfaction of mere morbid curiosity. But he could not explain what this public interest was, and obviously resented being asked.

I am not writing about the merits of heart transplant surgery. Some doctors consider it to be justified, some do not. I cannot think, however, that any doctor could approve of the action of the *Daily Telegraph*. Although it was plain that its editor could not understand the appeals that were made to him, there is one form of argument he is unlikely to misunderstand. I have therefore cancelled my order for the *Daily Telegraph*. Other doctors, hospitals, and medical organizations might think about it.—I am, etc.,

Halstead, Essex. R. E. W. FISHER.

SIR,—I listened to the discussion between Mr. Green, editor of the *Daily Telegraph*, and the public relations officer of Guy's, during the 1 o'clock news programme on 22 May.

I am most dissatisfied with the editor of the Daily Telegraph in giving publicity to the names of the donor and recipient, and personal matters concerning them, in the Daily Telegraph, in spite of the request by Guy's Hospital for privacy. I am therefore cancelling my order of the Daily Telegraph in protest, and I hope my medical colleagues who are in sympathy with my feelings will do the same. I am not one to protest easily, and I enjoy, and have enjoyed, the *Daily Telegraph* for 30 years, but this abuse of medical privacy is beyond the pale, and I cannot do otherwise than to cancel my subscription.—I am, etc.,

Tunbridge Wells. JOHN COGAN. Kent.

Multiple Choice Examinations

SIR,-Dr. Bernard Towers in his pungent Personal View (17 May, p. 443) strongly condemns the use in our medical schools of spot" and multiple choice question examinations and rightly points out their potential disadvantages. However, his alternative suggestion also seems open to grave abuses: "If they can defeat me in knowledge and argument I give them starred firsts and regard the system as working well." This is likely to be satisfactory when examining honours candidates, but is it fair to the middle-of-the-road man who will make a reasonably competent doctor but who may fail his exams and spend a miserable year resitting because he did not get on the examiner's wavelength?

With regard to written examinations, there is definite evidence that the use of essay questions—with the best will in the world leads to serious variations in standard. Bull¹ reviewed the problem in 1956 and found that when an essay-type question in final medicine was re-marked by the same examiner after an interval of several weeks the result changed from pass to fail or fail to pass in the case of no fewer than eight students out

of thirty. Admittedly this was one question only, but it is easy to see how a "run" of unfavourable markings could fail a student who ought to have passed. This is the situation which multiple choice questions were designed to avoid.

Probably the best solution at present is to use a combination of multiple choice questions with some other form of examination for example, essay questions, oral, clinical as is done by the Royal College of Physicians of London.² At the same time, constant efforts should be made to improve the standard of multiple choice questions.—I am, etc.,

WILLIAM F. M. WALLACE. Physiology Department, Queen's University, Belfast.

REFERENCES

 Bull, G. M., Lancet, 1956, 2, 368.
 Royal College of Physicians of London, Lancet, 1967, 2, 1034.

SIR,—In "Personal View" (17 May, p. 443) Dr. Bernard Towers made some entertaining and valid criticisms of multiple choice question tests. It would be a pity, however, if your readers mistook his jolly little article for a reasoned examination of the M.C.Q. method as applied to the teaching of large medical classes.

Dr. Towers says that as a university teacher and examiner he tries to encourage his pupils to think and argue. He continues: "If they can defeat me in knowledge and argument I give them starred firsts and regard the system as working well." It would be interesting to know exactly how this assessment is made. In my experience the essay type of question is rarely worded so as to make the student do more than regurgitate factual knowledge. Examination papers of this type usually