



RTICLES

LE

Attitudes to Abortion page 69The Heart during Sleep page 70Morphine withoutPrescription page 71Five-Day Wards in 1974 page 71Effects of Cholecystectomypage 72Brenner Turnours page 73Do We Know What We Are Doing? page 73And So to Court page 74Five-Day Brenner Turnours page 74Do We Know What We Are Doing? Page 73

PAPERS AND ORIGINALS

Controlled Trial of Various Anti-D Dosages in Suppression of Rh Sensitization following Pregnancy REPORT TO THE MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL BY THE WORKING PARTY ON THE USE OF ANTI-D IMMUNOGLOBULIN FOR THE PREVENTION OF ISOIMMUNIZATION OF RH-NEGATIVE WOMEN DURING PREGNANCY	75
Hepatic Disorders Associated with Liver/Kidney Microsomal Antibodies	15
M. G. M. SMITH, ROGER WILLIAMS, GEOFFREY WALKER, M. RIZZETTO, DEBORAH DONIACH	80
Haemolytic-Uraemic Syndrome in Typhoid Fever N. M. BAKER, A. E. MILLS, I. RACHMAN, J. E. P. THOMAS	84
Renal Tubular Obstruction by Mucoproteins from Adenocarcinoma of Pancreas J. R. HOBBS, D. J. EVANS, O. M. WRONG	87
The Changing Face of Chronic Bronchitis with Airways Obstruction PETER HOWARD	89
Inhibition of Experimental Asthma in Man by a New Drug (AH 7725) Active when Given by Mouth	
E. S. K. ASSEM, J. A. EVANS, MONICA MCALLEN	93
Mitral Regurgitation due to Rupture of Chordae Tendinae by Calcified Atrial Myxoma JOE R. WISE, JUN	95
Testicular Torsion in Henoch—Schönlein Syndrome H. S. LOH, O. M. JALAN	96
Unusual Presentation of Tuberculosis A. JUNG, M. GRAZIANO, F. WALDVOGEL, P. A. MIESCHER	97

MEDICAL PRACTICE

Evolution of an Examination: M.R.C.P. (U.K.) P. R. FLEMING, W. G. MANDERSON, M. B. MATTHEWS, P. H. SANDERSON, J. F. STOKES	99
Medical and Social Screening of Patients aged 70 to 72 by an Urban General Practice Health Team	
G. CURRIE, R. M. MACNEILL, J. G. WALKER, ELVA BARNIE, ELIZABETH W. MUDIE	108
Clinical Medicine and Research in Germany D. N. S. KERR	111
Any Questions ?	115
Personal View KEMBLE GREENWOOD	116

CORRESPONDENCE—List of Contents 1	17
OBITUARY NOTICES	23
BOOK REVIEWS	25
NEWS AND NOTES	
Epidemiology-Hazards of Turkeys 1	28
Lane Report-Recommendations for Change in Abortion	
Law	28
Parliament-Questions; Bills in Progress 1	29
Medical News 1	29

SUPPLEMENT

C.C.H.M.S. Meeting B.M.A. and R.H.C.S.A.—Exchange of Letters (Appendix	7
IV of Annual Report of Council); Press Statement	10
Council Meeting—Preliminary Report	12
N.H.S. Reorganization—Interim Arrangements for Salaries	
and Fees	12
Junior Members Forum—Imported Disease	14
Fees for Part-time Medical Services	15
Was it a Drug?	16
Association Notices	16

NO. 5910 BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL 1974 VOLUME 2 69-130 BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION TAVISTOCK SQUARE LONDON WC1H 9 ASTM CODEN: BMJOAE 2 (5910) 69-130 (1974)

BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION TAVISTOCK SQUARE LONDON WC1H 9JR. SECOND CLASS POSTAGE PAID AT NEW YORK, N.Y. WEEKLY 50p

CORRESPONDENCE

Correspondents are asked to be brief

Whatever Happened to Brodrick?
D. L. Kerr, M.R.C.G.P.; J. D. J. Havard, M.D. 117
Danger of Oxytocin Infusion to the Fetus J. M. Gate, F.R.C.O.G118
Jaundice after Halothane
B. R. J. Simpson, F.F.A.R.C.S., and others118
Coping with Nose-bleeds J. McNeill, F.R.C.S.GLASG.; J. Siegler, F.R.C.S.; J. E. A. Knowles, F.R.C.S.ED118
Streptokinase and Heparin in Treatment of Pulmonary Embolism W. A. L. MacGowan, F.R.C.S.I119
Diet and Colonic Cancer T. S. Low-Beer, M.R.C.P119

Continuous Diaphragm Sign of Pneumomediastinum P. MacPherson, F.F.R., and J. S. H. Davidson, F.F.R
Doctors, Drivers, and Confidentiality W. L. Neustatter, F.R.C.P
Death during Dental Anaesthesia D. B. Bamber, F.F.A.R.C.S
Pre-eclampsia and the Kidney G. J. Sophian, F.R.C.O.G.; E. N. Wardle, M.R.C.P.
Widal Tests for Water Workers

D. I. Haslock, M.D
Fascioliasis C. R. Ashton, B.M., and O. D. Beresford, M.D
Hospital Medical Manpower D. B. Brown, F.R.C.O.G
Working Hours of Junior Staff O. J. A. Gilmore, F.R.C.S
Remuneration C. A. Price, M.R.C.G.P.; S. B. Datta, M.R.C.P.ED
Tax Relief for the Locum Consultant N. S. Craig, M.D. 122

Whatever Happened to Brodrick?

SIR,—The answer you provide to your rhetorical question (leading article, 30 March, p. 589) is incomplete. The work of the Committee on Death Certification and Coroners was not merely pigeon-holed by the Home Office, it was also quickly forgotten by all the organizations which had contributed so fruitfully to its deliberations.

Nobody, even at B.M.A. House, could have been so naive as to suppose that a subject as recondite as one of several studied by the Brodrick Committee would have forced itself on the attention of any Home Secretary without consistent pressure from interested and informed quarters. At one period of its deliberations the committee was urged to publish its report in time for action to be taken on it when recasting the system of local government in England and Wales. Are my colleagues who shared the six years of travail smiling wryly with me as 1 April 1974 makes of us in that context, if in no other, bigger April Fools than most?

The irony of the Brodrick Committee's report (and those of us who served on the committee recognized this) is that nearly all its recommendations were little more than a list of current practices, progress already achieved, or changes made inevitable by the demands of technical developments. Why this all needed "further study" by the Home Office I shall never understand. Its magnificent staff of civil servants advised us constantly, guided us incessantly, and finished our work by writing the report for us.

I hope your belated leading article will provoke some stirrings among organizations and individuals with some concern over the problems Brodrick tried to solve. The new Home Secretary last held the post when the Brodrick Committee was in session. I am

sure he will recall its work. He might be persuaded to act on its proposals.—I am, etc.,

Thornton Heath, Surrey

DAVID KERR

.119 .120 .120

.120

SIR,-The reason why nothing has happened to the Brodrick Report (leading article, 30 March, p. 589) may be found in the defects of the report itself,1 which is full of tendentious reasoning and sadly lacking in scientific evidence for the more sweeping of its recommendations such as the total abolition of cremation safeguards. The report states that the committee "decided not to burden our Report with too many detailed references to the views and arguments of our witnesses. We identify the views of individual witnesses or organizations only where the context suggests that identification will be helpful" (Introduction, para. 10); and this is usually restricted to evidence in favour of the report's recommendations. We are also told that the committee commissioned two public opinion polls, the findings of which "form a very important part of our evidence"-but no information about the results of these polls is given in the report.

As pointed out in your leading article the report discounted the "alarming assertions" contained in my own book, one of which was that a number of cases of thallium poisoning must have been disposed of as natural deaths as a result of failure to distinguish such cases from polyneuritis. This "alarming" assertion, based on authenticated cases, was dismissed by the Brodrick Committee in the following words: "Common sense suggests to us that the calculating murderer who assesses the probable consequences of any course of action is likely to conclude that the risks involved in attempting to pass off murder for a natural death are not worth taking" (para. 4.35); and to put the issue beyond doubt: "Our general conclusions are that the risk of secret homicide occurring and remaining undiscovered as a direct consequence of the state of the current law on the certification of death has been much exaggerated, and that it has not been a significant danger at any time in the past 50 years" (para. 4.37). It may well be that the Government's reluctance to act on the report may have something to do with the fact that a few months after it was published the details of the Graham Young case were made known, in which a number of victims had been murdered by thallium, at least one of whom had been certified dead from polyneuritis.

Your leading article must be warmly welcomed as drawing attention to the sad state of forensic medicine in Britain, but it would be a mistake to assume that the recommendations in the Brodrick Report could provide a remedy. It goes no farther than to concede that a small number of medical schools (not more than four or five) should be allowed to teach forensic pathology. Such a proposal in relation to one of the largest technically developed countries in Europe must be regarded as cynical in the extreme. Foreign observers who generally admire our legal system have been badly shaken by this report, not only because of its misunderstanding of the foreign systems to which it refers, but also because of its failure to recognize the importance to the community of thorough medicolegal investigation of sudden and unexplained death. It would be difficult to improve on the verdict of the professor of