BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL

B S DEFT OF AGREEN LIBER BATTERN ARRIGHMENT AND LIBEART PEOLINETT

APR 1 8 1860

OMOCUMENT SECIECAL REASEST

SATURDAY 30 MARCH 1985

LEADING ARTICLES	
	OAO
	BOON, J K ARONSON
	R SOMERS 950
Regular Review: Current place of coronary angioplasty N PAU	UL SILVERTON
CLINICAL RESEARCH • PAPERS AND SI	HORT REPORTS • PRACTICE OBSERVED
The Tayside infant morbidity and mortality study: effect on health of t	using gas for cooking SAOGSTON, CDU VFLOREY, CHM WALKER 957
Invasive cervical cancer and combined oral contraceptives WHO COL	LABORATIVE STUDY OF NEOPLASIA AND STEROID CONTRACEPTIVES 961
Counselling problem drinkers in medical wards: a controlled study JONATHAN CHICK, GEOFFREY LLOYD, EVELYN CROMBIE	
Paecilomyces varioti pneumonia complicating hairy cell leukaemia	
Amoxycillin induced pancytopenia A E IRVINE, A N D AGNEW, T C M MO. Air in the bones: multifocal anaerobic osteomyelitis associated with	oat cell carcinoma
JACQUES PHILIPPE, ESMAIL SHEYBANI, BERNARD HIRSCHEL, BERNARD OD Peritonitis due to Streptococcus viridans in patients receiving continu	uous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis
K KIDDY, P P BROWN, J MICHAEL, D ADU	
Comparison of erythromycin and isoniazid in treatment of adverse rea	actions to BCG vaccination SP HANLEY, JGUMB, JT MACFARLANE 970
General practitioner participation in intranatal care in the Northern re	egion in 1983 GN MARSH, HA CASHMAN, IT RUSSELL 971
Vocational training needs overhauling DNH GREIG	
MEDICAL PRACTICE Medicine and the Law: Use and abuse of psychiatric testimony DERR For Debate: Differences in durations of stay for surgery in the NHS and The WILLIAMS, J. P. NICHOLL, K. J. THOMAS, J. KNOWELDEN	and private sector in England and Wales
Needs and Opportunities in Rehabilitation: Rehabilitation in psych	niatric conditions: 1—Community and residential care
DAPHNE GLOAG	981
Paediatrics Among Ethnic Minorities: Afro-Caribbean and African far	
Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery: Burns DM DAVIES	
The Gee case: a third expert gives evidence for Dr Gee CLARE DYER.	994
Medicine and the Media—Contributions from DJP BARKER, ALEX PATOR	N, SHAUN WOODWARD, IAN J DEARY 995
	977,988
	L HERBERTSON
CORRESPONDENCE—List of Contents	SUPPLEMENT
	The Week
ODITO IA DAI	Limited list becomes law: minister proposes conference
OBITUARY 1013	WILLIAM RUSSELL 1016
	From the GMSC: Limited list: override procedure to be
NEWS AND NOTES	discussed 1017
Views	Limited list: advice to GPs
Medical News	Guidance for dispensing doctors
BMA Notices	Maintaining standards in the independent sector of health
One Man's Burden MICHAEL O'DONNELL	care PATRICIA DAY, RUDOLF KLEIN

CORRESPONDENCE

1000
100
ed
d,
100
n
100
100' 0-
100
io

Because we receive many more letters than we have room to publish we may shorten those that we do publish to allow readers as wide a selection as possible. In particular, when we receive several letters on the same topic we reserve the right to abridge individual letters. Our usual policy is to reserve our correspondence columns for letters commenting on issues discussed recently (within six weeks) in the BMJ.

Letters critical of a paper may be sent to the authors of the paper so that their reply may appear in the same issue. We may also forward letters that we decide not to publish to the authors of the paper on which they comment.

Letters should not exceed 400 words and should be typed double spaced and signed by all authors, who should include their main degree.

Proposal to outlaw the term "negative trial"

SIR,—Minerva seems somewhat ambivalent about negative trials. In 1983 she thought that they "have never made riveting reading," while more recently she thought that they "are (almost) always worth putting on record" (23 February, p 644). She has come round to the right way of thinking of course, although she might have added that there is no such thing as a "negative trial." All trials that have been well conceived and well conducted2—whatever their results—represent positive contributions to knowledge.

I suspect that the concept of a negative trial derives from an inflated reverence for differences between trial groups which achieve some rather arbitrarily chosen level of statistical significance. The adverse consequences of this phenomenon were recognised long ago,³ and Dr J Stuart Pocock recently made excelent suggestions for confronting it (5 January, p 39-42). One important implication of Dr Pocock's recommendations is that investigators, referees, and editors should not exercise favouritism in respect of those trials which have results which they regard as "positive."

The magnitude of the "selective publication bias" which results from editorial designation of trials as negative or positive is unknown, so there is currently no basis for dismissing it as unlikely to be important. My colleagues and I would like to try to assess the extent of selective publication bias in perinatal medicine. We have established what we believe to be a fairly complete register of published reports of controlled trials in perinatal medicine which have appeared since 1950.

We would be most grateful to anyone who could let us know about any perinatal trials which have been conducted over this period but which have never appeared in print. By comparing the results of published and un-

published trials we would hope to obtain some estimate of the extent to which diligent readers of published reports are being misled by the selective suppression both of negative trials and possibly of "positive trials" which challenge prevailing hypotheses (and are thus perceived in some powerful quarters as negative in quite a different sense).

It is particularly important to derive estimates of the magnitude of selective publication biases if the exciting new possibilities presented by "meta-analyses" of data pooled from independently mounted, but similar, trials are to be exploited in a manner which is as scientifically rigorous as possible.

IAIN CHALMERS

National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, Radcliffe Infirmary, Oxford OX2 6HE

Minerva. Views. Br Med J 1983;287:1886.
 Mosteller F, Gilbert JP, McPeek B. Reporting standards and research strategies for controlled trials: agenda for the editor. Controlled Clinical Trials 1980;1:37-58.

3 Walster W, Clearly TA. A proposal for a new editorial policy in the Social Sciences. The American Statistician 1970; April: 16-8.

Prolactinomas

SIR,—We feel that Dr A Grossman and Professor G M Besser (19 January, p 182) have taken an overpessimistic view of the value of surgery in patients with prolactinomas. Their success rate, using radiotherapy, as judged by restoration of serum prolactin onormal in "about one third," is certainly substantially worse than surgery and on long term follow up may reveal a higher rate of hypopituitarism.

For large prolactinomas we agree with Bergh *et al* that bromocriptine is the treatment of choice to reduce prolactin, induce fertility, and decrease tumour size.² Thereafter it can be used to control possible expansion in pregnancy in the knowledge that the drug has no adverse effects on the fetus.³

The authors' objections to surgery appear to be based on one paper by Serri et al,4 which gives a recurrence rate of 50% after surgery for microadenomas. It should be pointed out, however, that these results were obtained in an early series of cases, when surgery for prolactinomas was in its infancy and

the importance of a wide excision of the tumour was not appreciated. It is not surprising that limited removal in the form of "selective adenomectomy" as practised in the series of Serri et al should result in some recurrences. We are of the opinion that after a decision to treat surgically has been made the correct operation for prolactinomas is a partial hypophysectomy which includes a wide margin of normal tissue around the tumour rather than selective microadenomectomy. In our series of patients in whom normoprolactinaemia was restored by partial hypophysectomy (26 out of 35) there have been no recurrences up to a maximum of five years among patients with microadenomas (10) or macroadenomas (16) (paper in preparation). Although our follow up period is not yet five years for all patients, our findings contrast strikingly with those of Serri and colleagues.

We consider that Dr Grossman and Professor Besser have taken too gloomy a view of surgery and we agree with Teasdale et al that transsphenoidal surgery for prolactino-