## BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL

SATURDAY 23 MAY 1987

LEADING ARTICLES	
Cervical smears: new terminology and new demands HFOX	
Should sympathomimetics be available over the counter? AND	
Special units for acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding MICHAE	
Hospices for children? TL CHAMBERS	
Regional secure units: arriving but under threat PETER RICHARI	
Why do women live longer and is it worth it? ALAN J SILMAN	
Services for people with epilepsy PK NEWMAN	
CLINICAL RESEARCH • PAPERS AND SH	
Colposcopy in a family planning clinic: a future model? HCKITCHENER Cigar and pipe smoking and myocardial infarction in young men DAVID W KAUFMAN, JULIE R PALMER, LYNN ROSENBERG, SAMUEL SHAPIRO	R, R A BURNETT, E S B WILSON, J W CORDINER
Early respiratory experience and subsequent cough and peak expirator	ry flow rate in 36 year old men and women
Migration of gall stones TV TAYLOR, CP ARMSTRONG	
Probable amniotic fluid embolism precipitated by amniocentesis and to	
Danazol and benign intracranial hypertension AJAY SHAH, TIM ROBERTS	
Clinical evaluation of lysuride in the management of hyperprolactinaem	
Does atenolol have an effect on calcium metabolism? CJBUSHE Successful cadaveric renal transplantation from a donor who died of c	
P W G BROWN, J A C BUCKELS, A B JAIN, P McMASTER	
Screening for cervical cancer: a new scope for general practitioners? R JANE CHOMET	Results of the first year of colposcopy in general practice
MEDICAL PRACTICE	
Benefits and risks of childhood immunisations in developing countries	JOHN D HOLDEN
Refusal to treat AIDS and HIV positive patients RAANAN GILLON	
ABC of AIDS: AIDS and the lung ANN MILLAR	
Complacency in diagnosis of cervical cancer MJ CAMPION, A SINGER, H Remuneration of Soviet medical personnel MICHAEL RYAN	
Effectiveness of publicity campaign encouraging earlier referral of hear	
Medicine and the Media—Contribution from TONY DELAMOTHE	
Any Questions?	
Medicine and Books	
What's new in the new editions? CLIFFORD HAWKINS	
1 CISORAL VIEW SIDNET CROWN	
CORRESPONDENCE—List of Contents	SUPPLEMENT
	The Week
<b>OBITUARY</b>	That was the parliament that was JOHN WARDEN
NUMBER OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	From the CCHMS: Progress report from management
NEWS AND NOTES Views	board's finance director
Medical News	
BMA Notices 1359	BMA approves guidelines on child abuse case conferences 1365

## CORRESPONDENCE

Inequalities and the new Health Education Authority	1050	Pinch skin grafting or porcine dermis in venous ulcers		Better reporting of adverse drug reactions A W Asscher, FRCP	1355
A M B Golding, FFCM; E J Brunner, MSC  Inequalities in health in Britain: specific explanations in three Lancashire towns P Sleight, FRCP; D J P Barker, FRCP, and		L O Simpson, MD; K R Poskitt, FRCS, and C McCollum, FRCS	1352 1353	Points Atlantoaxial instability in Down's syndrome (P M Spargo); Overuse of monitoring of blood concentrations of antiepileptic drugs (F J Abajo and others; J H B Scarpello	
C Osmond, PHD  Breadth versus depth D Brooks, FRCGP, and E Dunbar, MRCP	1351 1351	Unemployment and mortality K A Moser, MSC, and others Fall in intraocular pressure during acute		and N Cottrell); Ectopic pregnancy in Finland 1967-83: a massive increase (P E Schlesinger)	1355
Effect of protein restriction in insulin dependent diabetics at risk of nephropathy A Polak, FRCP, and D Rowe	1351	hypoglycaemia in patients with insulin dependent diabetes D F P Larkin, MRCPI, and P Eustace, FRCS; B M Frier, FRCP, and others	1353	Supersonic jet lag (G Bennett); 75 Deaths in asthmatics prescribed home nebulisers (D J Godden and others; D Murphy); Autologous blood transfusion (A Hedley Brown); Deep	
Infection by airborne Chlamydia trachomatis in a dentist cured with rifampicin after failures with tetracycline and doxycycline M Viswalingam, MB, and others; M Midulla,		AIDS and the heterosexual epidemic  A J Pinching, MRCP; Anne M Johnson, MRCGP, and M W Adler, FRCP  State of the public health	1354	vein thrombosis after stroke (P A O'Neill); Erythema migrans borreliosis or Lyme disease? (J Haworth); Preventing AIDS (A Comfort); Portraits from memory (M H P	
MD, and others	1352	A Smith, FRCGP	1354	Sayers)	1356

- All letters must be typed with double spacing and signed by all authors.
- No letter should be more than 400 words.
- For letters on scientific subjects we normally reserve our correspondence columns for those relating to issues
  discussed recently (within six weeks) in the BMJ.
- We do not routinely acknowledge letters. Please send a stamped addressed envelope if you would like an
  acknowledgment.
- Because we receive many more letters than we can publish we may shorten those we do print, particularly when we
  receive several on the same subject.

## Inequalities and the new Health Education Authority

SIR,—It would be unfair to dismiss *The Health Divide*<sup>1</sup> because of its political bias (4 April, p 857; 9 May, p 1230). It has brought together much detailed and important research, and many of the issues it raises would, if presented differently, command wide support.

The main problem is that the report is concerned with inequalities rather than actual standards, so anything that improves the level of health in all social classes but which has mainly benefited the higher social classes can be pilloried as increasing inequality. Thus the figures for cigarette smoking show that in 1972-84 there was a progressive reduction in all socioeconomic groups, but, while the prevalence of cigarette smoking in men over 16 in the professions fell from 33% to 17%, in unskilled manual workers it fell less, from 64% to 49%. If the prevalence of smoking related disease follows that of smoking then the inequality in health between socioeconomic groups attributable to these diseases will have increased. In 1972 the ratio was 1.9 while by 1984 it had become 2.9. By this measure the whole effort to reduce cigarette smoking would appear to have been counterproductive, which is manifest nonsense.

The authors base their approach on the World Health Organisation's strategy Health for All by the Year 2000, which aims at reducing the actual difference in health state between groups. This has the advantage of drawing attention to the needs of those with the worst health, but it is oversimplistic and will alienate many who want to improve the health of the whole community, including especially the poor.

The main divide between the political parties in

Britain is between those who want to distribute the existing cake more evenly and those who want to enlarge the cake so that each portion can be increased. This report will be warmly welcomed by those whose politics are left of centre and severely criticised by the rest.

The authors pay tribute to the health services in the Netherlands and Sweden; but these are rich countries, and richer countries can and usually do spend more on health than poorer nations. In 1983 the expenditure on health per head was £548 in the UK, £988 in the Netherlands, and £1424 in Sweden.<sup>2</sup>

The report is useful in highlighting specific areas for further research and action. For example, the high mortality from hypertension and stroke among people of Afro-Caribbean descent and the high mortality rates for babies of mothers born in Pakistan should stimulate further research and appropriate provision. Success in reducing these problems is likely to reduce the cost to the health service by reducing the levels of hospital provision required, so the injection of modest sums of money may well produce better health for these groups at little cost.

It is not easy to define health, let alone decide how best to allocate resources to improve it, but we should be developing a strategy for spending the increasing amounts of money likely to be available for health as the country becomes more affluent. This strategy should be based on concepts that are less politically divisive than this report, targeted more precisely, and give priority to measures which will help those most in need.

If the report, with all its inadequacies, stimulates

better services, especially for those most in need, then it will have served a useful purpose.

A M B GOLDING

King's College Hospital, Denmark Hill, London SE5 9RS

- Whitehead M. The health divide: inequalities in health in the 1980s. London: Health Education Council, 1987.
- 2 Office of Health Economics. Health expenditure in the UK. London: Office of Health Economics, 1986.

SIR,—Dr P B S Fowler (9 May, p 1230) deplores "emotive utterances...misleading statements" as "worrying propaganda tricks" in the debate surrounding the future of health inequalities in Britain.

He appears to take exception to the view that if the less well off do not have a decent diet this may partly be because of insufficient money. His conviction that the poor have no one to blame but themselves (as far as healthy eating is concerned) is based not on an analysis of the research literature of official statistics but on anecdote: "wives (sic) could tell that a stew made of neck of mutton with onions, carrots, and swedes is more nutritious and cheaper than the packaged convenience foods that are bought in such huge quantities."

Eight million people in Britain depend for all or part of their income on supplementary benefit. A study of goods and services that could be afforded by this section of the population conducted in 1986¹ and based on Family Expenditure Survey figures does indeed show that for a couple with two