

448.8
B77
c2

BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL

SATURDAY 15 AUGUST 1987

LEADING ARTICLES

Americans still struggling with malpractice	JOHN HAVARD	399
Is the tube in the trachea?	JOHN H KERR	400
Asymptomatic peptic ulcer disease	MICHAEL J DEW	401
Cutaneous graft versus host disease	JOHN I HARPER	401

CLINICAL RESEARCH • PAPERS AND SHORT REPORTS • PRACTICE OBSERVED

Mechanisms leading to hypogonadism in men with burns injuries	C G SEMPLE, W R ROBERTSON, R MITCHELL, D GORDON, C E GRAY, G H BEASTALL, W H REID	403
Chorionic villi sampling: cytogenetic and clinical findings in 500 pregnancies	NICO J LESCHOT, HANS WOLF, MARIANNE VERJAAL, LIA C VAN PROOIJEN-KNEGT, ELLEN G DE BOER, HUMPHREY H H KANHAI, GODELIEVE C M L CHRISTIAENS	407
Dysphagia in acute stroke	CAROLINE GORDON, RICHARD LANGTON HEWER, DERICK T WADE	411
Physiological response to parachute jumping	R ANFILOGOFF, P J HALE, M NATTRASS, V A HAMMOND, J C CARTER	415
Endogenous digoxin-like immunoreactivity in congestive heart failure	LOTAN SHILO, ADNAN ADAWI, GABI SOLOMON, LOUIS SHENKMAN	415
Hypophosphataemia: a feature of malaria?	DAVID J M LEWIS	416
Plasma atrial natriuretic peptide in essential hypertension: effects of changes in dietary sodium	GIUSEPPE A SAGNELLA, NIRMALA D MARKANDU, MARTIN G BUCKLEY, DONALD R J SINGER, A LOUISE SUGDEN, ANGELA C SHORE, GRAHAM A MACGREGOR	417
Sexually transmitted disease in potential semen donors	ERIC F MONTEIRO, ROBERT C SPENCER, GEORGE R KINGHORN, CHRISTOPHER L R BARRATT, SHEILA COOKE, IAN D COOKE	418
Hypothermia in the elderly: scope for prevention	CATHERINE J OTTY, M O ROLAND	419

MEDICAL PRACTICE

Human immunodeficiency virus and drug misuse: the Edinburgh experience	R P BRETTE, K BISSET, S BURNS, J DAVIDSON, S J DAVIDSON, J M N GRAY, J M INGLIS, J S LEES, J MOK	421
How to take a teaching ward round	JOHN REES	424
Clinical Algorithms: Urinary incontinence in women	PAUL HILTON	426
Curable metastatic cancer in young women	J A LEDERMANN, S M CRAWFORD, P A PHILIP, K D BAGSHAW	432
Entente cordiale, or orthopaedic opportunities in France	JAMES E ROBB, FARIFTEH V ROBB, DAVID FINLAYSON, FIONA FINLAYSON	434
Any Questions		425, 433, 435
Medicine and Books		436
What your patients may be reading	ROBERT GANN	438
Personal View	G A C BINNIE	440

CORRESPONDENCE—List of Contents	441
---------------------------------	-----

OBITUARY	448
----------	-----

NEWS AND NOTES

Views	450
Medical News	451

CORRESPONDENCE

Telephone confidentiality R Jones, MRCP 441	Fatal bronchospasm after topical lignocaine before bronchoscopy S Abdallah, MB 444	Influence of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in faecal occult blood tests Y Niv, MD 446
Red cell antibodies Marcela Contreras, MD, and Patricia Hewitt, MRCP; P J Howell, FIMLS, and others; A Palmer, FRCOG; G Robbins, MRCPATH; A D J Birch, MRCP; Jennifer K M Duguid, MRCPATH, and Imelda M Bromilow, FIMLS 441	Exertional gastro-oesophageal reflux S Bhattacharya, MRCP; P M Schofield, MRCP, and others 444	Right atrial thrombus: a complication of total parenteral nutrition CR Pennington, FRCPED 446
Intraregional variation in treatment of end stage renal failure E J Will, MRCP, and others 443	Osteoporosis, falls, and age in fracture of the proximal femur W J Boyce, MRCP; J J Jones, FRCM; C Cooper, MRCP, and others 444	Extrapyramidal disturbances caused by inappropriate prescribing J A Wilson, MRCP, and W R Primrose, MRCP 447
Changes in serum lipid concentrations after myocardial infarction Nina Polanska, MRCPATH, and others 443	Hyposensitisation S M Wood, MD, and R D Mann, MRCP 445	Delivery after caesarean section C Fitzpatrick, MRCP; N M Duignan, FRCOG, and O Sheil, MB 447
Atrial natriuretic peptide concentrations in pre-eclampsia J Anderson, MRCP; J K Thomsen, MD, and others 443	Is screening for bacteriuria in pregnancy worth while? G W Smith, MRCPATH; I R McFadyen, FRCOG, and D V Seal, MD 445	Drinking and driving: success of random breath testing in Finland B Sheerman, MP 447
		The wide range of chlamydial infection L Collier, FRCP 447
		Correction: Doctors and the death penalty (Thuriaux)..... 447

- All letters must be typed with double spacing and signed by all authors.
- No letter should be more than 400 words.
- For letters on scientific subjects we normally reserve our correspondence columns for those relating to issues discussed recently (within six weeks) in the *BMJ*.
- We do not routinely acknowledge letters. Please send a stamped addressed envelope if you would like an acknowledgment.
- Because we receive many more letters than we can publish we may shorten those we do print, particularly when we receive several on the same subject.

Telephone confidentiality

SIR,—Breaches of medical confidentiality come in many forms. Users of cordless telephones may not be aware of the risks that they are taking.

When I recently bought a British Telecom cordless telephone from an official sales office I was assured that the device was confidential. It contains, I was told, a gadget that ensures that no one can overhear a conversation. The same device prevents other people from making telephone calls through my set and charging their calls to my account.

I subsequently used it freely to converse with my patients while on call, confident that high technology rendered our conversation safe from prying ears. I was therefore surprised when tuning my radio on VHF to hear my wife's conversation broadcast loud and clear. I confronted British Telecom, whose initial disbelief was modified to: "But you were warned." It turned out that I had

overlooked a sentence in the telephone instruction booklet: "As cordless telephones use radio transmissions between the handset and base set unit, there is potential for accidental or deliberate overhearing of calls."

I subsequently spoke to various retailers who sell cordless telephones and on each occasion was assured that they were confidential and that conversations could not be overheard. They were very surprised when I informed them that this was not the case.

The use of cordless telephones by doctors is widespread and increasing. Most probably do not realise that they are transmitting sensitive, personal information throughout their locality and are blissfully ignorant of the medicolegal ramifications of this.

RUPERT JONES

Devon PL20 6HP

in need of transfusion for the c antigen. Those found to be negative should be given c negative (that is, CDe/CDe) blood, which should not be difficult to obtain "off the shelf" from transfusion centres. Rather than performing "D" tests and other unnecessary procedures such as crossmatching blood that is unlikely to be transfused, it would be better if blood banks spent their energy and resources in typing young Rh positive women for the c antigen.

With regard to antenatal serological testing, we agree with Mr Palmer that all women should be tested at booking and, if unsensitised, at about 34 weeks for the presence of atypical red cell alloantibodies in their serum. Furthermore, women must be tested for the ABO and Rh(D) antigen on red cells on both occasions as mistyping an Rh negative woman as Rh positive can have serious consequences. Rh(D) negative unsensitised women should in addition have a red cell antibody screen at 28 weeks. Mr Palmer recommends more frequent testing for women who possess anti-D, anti-c, and anti-K when their partner's cells contain the relevant antigen. We would go further than this. With the appropriate screening cells other clinically significant antibodies (for example, anti-Fy^a, anti-Jk^a, and anti-s) will be detected, and they should be monitored with the same frequency as those Mr Palmer mentions. We would add a word of caution regarding the advice that monitoring of the red cell alloantibody is unnecessary if the consort's red cells lack the antigen. Perhaps conditions are different in Hull, but in our experience there is no guarantee that the "paternal" red cells actually belong to the father of the fetus. The consort may not be the father, and in such cases we exercise a diplomatic silence. We have certainly seen cases of an affected fetus where there should have been no risk of immunisation by "paternal" antigens. We therefore advise monitoring of the

Red cell antibodies

SIR,—It is comforting to see a consultant obstetrician like Mr Alan Palmer (20 June, p 1568) joining blood transfusion specialists in their plea to take seriously red cell alloantibodies, especially in pregnant women.

A proportion of Rh negative women are still becoming immunised despite postnatal Rh immunoprophylaxis. This immunisation is mainly due to antenatal sensitisation (1.5-2.0% of Rh negative primiparas), although some women are not given anti-D after sensitising episodes such as abortions. Antenatal sensitisation may be prevented by antenatal prophylaxis, but, unfortunately, there is insufficient anti-Rh immuno-

globulin in Britain to allow the widespread use of such prophylaxis. Supplies of anti-Rh immunoglobulin might be improved if clinicians assisted in recruiting, as plasma donors, women (past child-bearing age) and men who have formed anti-Rh.

The incidence of haemolytic disease of the newborn due to anti-c has not decreased. Though the disease is less severe than that due to anti-D, it may cause morbidity and mortality. Since, as Mr Palmer states, most women are immunised against the c antigen (as well as other red cell antigens) by previous transfusions an additional measure (apart from avoiding unnecessary transfusions in premenopausal women) is to type Rh positive women