

SATURDAY 5 SEPTEMBER 1970

LEADING ARTICLES

Cells and Circumstances page 535Benign Intracranial Hypertension page 536WorldMedical Assembly page 537Overcrowded Broadmoor page 537Brave New Hospitalpage 538Sensitivity Tests in Tuberculosis page 538Remissions in Diabetes Mellitus page 539The Heart in London page 540Control of Waste page 540Bath Meeting page 540

PAPERS AND ORIGINALS

New Growth and Viruses MICHAEL STOKER	541
Fibrin Degradation Products in Pre-eclamptic Toxaemia and Eclampsia	
A. H. HENDERSON, D. J. PUGSLEY, AND D. P. THOMAS.	545
Cortisol and Growth Hormone Secretion in Relation to Linear Growth: Patients with Still's Disease on Different	
Therapeutic Regimens R. A. STURGE, C. BEARDWELL, M. HARTOG, D. WRIGHT, AND B. M. ANSELL.	547
Blood, Urine, and Breath Levels After Rapid Intravenous Infusion of Ethanol	
J. W. DUNDEE, J. W. D. KNOX, AND M. ISAAC	552
Benign Intracranial Hypertension following Corticosteroid Withdrawal in Childhood	
B. G. R. NEVILLE AND J. WILSON	554
Acute Paracetamol Poisoning A. T. PROUDFOOT AND N. WRIGHT	557

PRELIMINARY COMMUNICATIONS

Papillary Necrosis is	n Rats Caused by Aspirin and Aspirin-containing Mixtures	
RANJIT S. NANRA AND	PRISCILLA KINCAID-SMITH	559

MEDICAL MEMORANDA

Streptozotocin Treatment of Malignant Islet Cell Tumour N. N. STANLEY, V. MARKS, L. KREEL, AND N. MCINTYRE...... 562 Thromboembolic Pulmonary Hypertension of Unusual Cause in a Teenager D. C. BANKS, E. B. RAFTERY, AND S. ORAM 563

MIDDLE ARTICLES

Northwick	x Park	Hospita	l and	Clinical	Research	
Centre.						576
Conference	ces and	Meetings	6			
Twenty-	fourth W	orld Med	lical As	sembly s.	S. B. GILDER	581
New Appl	iances					582
Personal	View	ALAN POF	TER			583
BOOK	REVII	E WS				574
CORRE	SPON	DENC	E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		584
NEWS	AND	NOTES	5			

CURRENT PRACTICE

Colonic Diverticula	
Pathology and Natural History HAROLD ELLIS	565
Radiological Diagnosis J. A. GLEESON	568
Surgical Management M. C. T. REILLY	
Any Questions?	573
OBITUARY NOTICES	592
SUPPLEMENT	
Annual Clinical Meeting, Bath	81
Senior Hospital Medical Officers	84
Increases for Hospital Doctors	85

Fees for Post-mortem Examinations85Hospital Doctors and Review Body's Report86Association Notices86

No. 5722	British Me	dical Journal, 1970, Volum:	e 3, 535-596
BRITISH MEDICAL	ASSOCIATION,	TAVISTOCK SQUARE,	LONDON WC1H 9JR

Correspondence

Correspondents are asked to be brief.

Marginal Surgery"—An Increasing Dilemma J. M. Potter, F.R.C.S	Sleeping Pills M. R. Bond, F.R.C.S.ED., D.P.M., and others 586	G.F E V
Results of Vagotomy	Beware of Chewing Gum A. Danin, F.F.A.R.C.S	Up
G. Qvist, F.R.C.S., and A. A. M. Lewis, F.R.C.S	Herpes Zoster and Varicella G. D. W. McKendrick, F.R.C.P587	Dri
Wrong Operations I. W. Payne, F.R.C.S	Paralysis in Herpes Zoster J. P. Anderson, F.R.C.P.ED	J Co: F
Staffing of Casualty Departments R. T. Burkitt, F.R.C.S. 585	Labelling of Drugs H. G. Easton, M.D587	Loc
Benzene Hexachloride Poisoning B. G. P. Macnamara, M.R.C.P	Complications of Gonorrhoea R. H. Whitaker, F.R.C.S	Tro
Freatment of Typhoid H. P. Lambert, F.R.C.P., and J. D. Frengley, M.R.C.P585	Treating the Elderly J. W. Todd, F.R.C.P	Wi V Po:
Folate and Vitamin B ₁₂ in Epilepsy C. D. Allen, M.R.C.P. 585	Massive Hepatic Necrosis after Cyclophos- phamide D. A. Aubrey, F.R.C.S	Re
Skin Disease and the Gut Major T. C. Hindson, M.R.C.P		Fee E
George III and the Mad-business P. Jacobs, M.R.C.P., F.F.R	Handicapped Children I. Gordon, M.D588	Co

G.P.s in the Hospital
E. O. Evans, M.B.; D. R. Cargill, B.M.;
W. A. Roxburgh, M.B
Upgrading V.D. Departments
R. R. Willcox, M.D.; R. J. Lewis, B.M 589
Drip Set Hazard J. A. Wheeler-Bennett, D.P.H
Consultancy in Radiology
R. Eban, F.F.R
Look Back—Sometimes
Е. Elkan, м.D
Tropical Diseases Unit, Edinburgh F. J. Wright, F.R.C.P
Witness's Privilege W. K. E. Bernfeld, M.D590
Postgraduate Education G. D. Middleton, M.R.C.P590
Reviewing the Abortion Act S. E. Josse, M.B., D.M.J591
Fees for Certificates B. Taylor, M.B.; C. R. Barker, M.R.C.S591
Contraceptive Cycle? E. Saphier, M.R.C.S

"Marginal Surgery"—An Increasing Dilemma

SIR,—By "marginal surgery" I mean surgical operations which have passed the experimental stage and which, although established and mostly safe (though sometimes heroic), are on the margin below or beyond which they cease to be possible or desirable.

My own specialty of neurosurgery affords particular opportunity for this type of surgery, and the pressure from our colleagues to perform it can be considerable. However, I am becoming increasingly concerned about the consequences of these and other therapeutic procedures which may be conceived and performed with the highest altruism, but without the realization that the supporting services essential to the humanity of the total therapeutic exercise may have dwindled seriously in recent years and be insufficient to finish the job, or even to make the results tolerable.

Originally, these operations were carried out in the belief, indeed, often in the knowledge that, although they were unlikely to cure, a still palsied (but, one hoped, less so), a mentally dilapidated, or paraparetic patient would be reasonably well cared for in accommodation for the disabled or chronic sick, or by devoted relatives in his home. And if he died in the attempt, it could so often be reasonably said that, after all, "perhaps it was all for the best." Now. very few die in the attempt. There are not

nearly enough beds for rehabilitation facilities to make the best of what was always a bad situation. Moreover, what social immunologists now call "rejection" by relatives is on the increase. At a recent meeting in Stoke Mandeville even there it was said that it is becoming more difficult to persuade relatives to shoulder the burden of a paraplegic; and the brain-damaged move insecurely between their homes and various institutions seeking some appropriate refuge or what, before the term became debased by connotation, was once called an asylum.

I am in no way belittling the real palliation that may be appropriately achieved by certain surgical procedures, nor am I asking for a moratorium on marginal therapy indeed, perhaps only an overwhelmingly increasing demand will call forth money for the proper facilities for after-care. But I am anxious that the problem should be recognized and discussed; that judgement, selectivity and, above all, humanity should be exercised; and that specialist activity should be related now more than ever to the patient's total needs. What is it all for? And what is to become of him? To what extent has one's marginal surgery been underwritten?—I am, etc.,

JOHN M. POTTER.

Department of Neurological Surgery, Radcliffe Infirmary, Oxford.

Results of Vagotomy

SIR,—Your leading article (15 August, p. 358) suggests that vagotomy and pyloroplasty might be indicated for high lesser curve ulcers.

We performed this operation in 26 patients with gastric ulcer. In 12 the ulcer

was above and in 14 below the incisura. The latter patients did well, only two having mild postoperative dyspepsia. Results in the 12 patients with ulcers above the incisura were disappointing. Four patients developed recurrent ulcer, four had dyspepsia, and four only were completely satisfactory. It is of interest that in one of the patients with recurrent gastric ulcer two years after the operation an associated duodenal ulcer had healed.

These results, indeed, are well in accord with current views on the distinction between high gastric ulcers and those lower on the lesser curve. The special nature of high gastric ulcers, especially their occurrence in stomachs with a high antro-fundic junction and a low acid potential, might be expected to render them unlikely to heal as the result of an operation designed to diminish gastric acid-pepsin secretion. Our results suggest that vagotomy and pyloroplasty, if it is indicated at all for gastric ulcer, should be reserved for ulcers below the incisura, and that it is contraindicated in high gastric ulcer.—We are, etc.,

> GEORGE QVIST. ADAM LEWIS.

London W.1.

Wrong Operations

SIR,—It is suggested in your leading article (22 August, p. 420) and by Dr. P. H. Addison (p. 461), that an indelible mark should be made on patients before they go to the theatre to indicate what operation is to be performed on what part of which patient.

I have never been happy about this suggestion. Who is to be responsible for placing this mark correctly? And will the surgeon be exonerated in the event of an error in the marking? Surely the surgeon, and only the surgeon, can be responsible for his work, including the onerous task of doing the right operation on the right patient, and any procedure which in any way relieves him of the need for vigilance can only be harmful and possibly misleading.