

U.S. DEPT OF ANTICITURE NATIONA

102 - 1973

PROCUREMENT SECTION

SATURDAY 14 JULY 1973

LEADING ARTICLES

Cancer Campaign:	Cash and Compassion page 61	Transient Synovi	tis and Perthe's
Disease page 62	Renal Carbuncle page 63	Nasal Polyps page 63	Monkeys for
Research page 64	Undergraduate Teaching in	n Anaesthetics page 65	Not Quite £1
plus 4 % page 65			

PAPERS AND ORIGINALS

Vitamin B12 Status in Pregnancy among Immigrants to Britain P. D. ROBERTS, HELEN JAMES, AVIVA PETRIE, J. O. MORGAN, A. V. HOFFBRAND	57
Effects of Long-acting Thyroid Stimulator (LATS) and LATS Protector on Human Thyroid Adenyl Cyclase Activity PAT KENDALL-TAYLOR	72
Pharmacological Studies with Lincomycin in Late Pregnancy N. M. DUIGNAN, J. ANDREWS, J. D. WILLIAMS.	75
Hypertension in Renal Transplant Recipients: Role of Bilateral Nephrectomy SIMON L. COHEN	78
Immunological Function in Dystrophia Myotonica D. I. GROVE, S. J. O'CALLAGHAN, T. O. BURSTON, I. J. FORBES	31
Treatment of Chronic Gastric Ulcer with Carbenoxolone and Gefarnate: A Comparative Trial M. J. S. LANGMAN, D. R. KNAPP, E. J. WAKLEY	34
Reduction of Absorption of Paracetamol by Activated Charcoal and Cholestyramine: A Possible Therapeutic Measure B. DORDONI, R. A. WILLSON, R. P. H. THOMPSON, ROGER WILLIAMS	36

MEDICAL PRACTICE

Criteria for Classification of S.L.E. P. DAVIS, BRENDA ATKINS, R. G. JOSSE, G. R. V. HUGHES
Abortion Act in Somerset A. PARRY JONES, M. R. GRIMOLDBY
Gazetteer of General Practices in the Aylesbury Area B. L. E. C. REEDY, E. ROSEMARY RUE
Regionalization of Medical Education at McMaster University W. B. SPAULDING, V. R. NEUFELD
Use of Learning Resources at McMaster University V. R. NEUFELD, W. B. SPAULDING
Any Questions ?
Personal View HENRY R. ROLLIN

BOOK RE	VIEWS	114
NEWS AN	D NOTES	
Epidemiol	ogy —Salmonella Infection in a Community	115
Medicolega	al-Settlement of Mr. Kenneth Robinson's	
Libel Act	ion	115
Parliamen	t—Armistice on Contraceptives	117
N.F. 11 1 NT	ews	117

OBITUARY NOTICES 112

SUPPLEMENT

Review Body's Report, 1973	5
B.M.A. Nuffield Library	8
B.M.A.'s Evidence to Review Body	9

CORRESPONDENCE

Correspondents are asked to be brief

Confidentiality R. R. L. Pryer, F.R.C.S104	Appointment of Preregistration House Officers	Prevention of Pulmonary Embolism D. R. B. Jones, F.R.C.S., and others109
Ordeal by Investigation D. E. L. Howes, M.B	O. O'Toole106 Infectious Mononucleosis	Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate in Hyperlipidaemia
Carbenoxolone Šodium and Deglycyrrhizi- nated Liquorice in Gastric Ulcer Sir Francis Avery Jones, F.R.C.P105 Higher Specialist Training in General Surgery R. S. Johnson-Gilbert105	H. Pullen, M.R.C.P.ED	
Side Effects of the Pill David M. Hall, M.B., and Susan M. Hall, M.B	Sharing the Burden of Certification C. Reisner, M.B., B.CHIR	Ampicillin for Sore Throat E. Joan Stokes, F.R.C.P110
Troubles with I.U.C.D.s J. S. Templeton, M.B	amphenicol in Enteric Fever A. Hassan, D.M., and others	Intravenous Cordon Bleu C. A. Birch, F.R.C.P110 Regulation of the Profession
P. D. Hertnon	Heartburn of Pregnancy R. D. Atlay, M.R.C.O.G108	M. Sim, F.R.C.PSYCH.; M. Tcharny110 Superannuation Surplus
Practice 106 Т. В. Donnelly, м.в. 106	Vocational Training and the R.C.G.P. S. L. Smith, B.M	W. P. Sweetnam, F.R.C.P
Is Your Pain Really Necessary? G. G. Hill, M.B	Significance of Milk pH in Newborn Babies V. C. Harrison, M.D., and G. Peat, D.M.T108 Traveller's Ankle H. D. Johnson, F.R.C.S	G. D. J. Ball, M.B., and others; W. G. Platt, M.B.; A. M. Duff, M.R.C.G.P

Confidentiality

SIR,—The tape-recorded discussion on confidentiality (23 June, p. 700) was both timely and stimulating. One could not fail to be impressed by the enormous gap which appears to exist between the principles governing these matters and the manner in which much of our profession conducts itself.

Recent personal correspondence with the Medical Defence Union reinforces the points made by your contributors: doctors are legally obliged to provide confidentiality for their patients, who are entitled to expect this. We are not obliged to forward confidential information to government departments or their agents, except where a statutory requirement exists or with the patient's consent; and an obligation to our contracts with regional boards is no greater than that to the individual patient. The general principles seem clear: confidential information which identifies the patient should be confined to doctors and the minimum number of people essential to treatment.

If precept is clear, the same cannot be said for practice. Various schemes initiated by the Department of Health and Social Security or regional boards, which seem to be accepted without protest by many doctors, make nonsense of these principles. The Psychiatric Inpatient Survey forwards full identifying details of every psychiatric admission, complete with diagnostic and personal data, to the D.H.S.S. computers. The facts required about every legal abortion and other data collecting schemes now afoot should make any doctor re-examine his concept of confidentiality. Does it embrace, for example, the record linkage system which is now agreed by nearly all hospital groups within the Oxford region? Within this, both clinical data and a detailed personal profile of every hospital admission in the region is automatically sent

to Oxford for computer analysis and storage; it will later involve general practice and other services.

All the schemes require the automatic passage of confidential material to distant departments which are not essential to patient care, without the consent of the patient, without public discussion of the issues, and in the total absence of protective legislation relating to the use and abuse of computer-stored material. How can such systems equate with the confidentiality expected from us? Placing a doctor at the head of groups of computer technologists, clerks, or government de-partments, with no clinical responsibility, cannot allow them to claim the same right of access to confidential material as the treatment team. I do not believe that we shall return public confidence if we accede to such proposals without protest.

In view of these considerations, the majority of consultant medical staff of the Aylesbury Group of Hospitals felt that it could not agree to transmit identifiable material in present circumstances. They welcome better record systems, with the proper use of computers, and have no hesitation in transmitting non-identifiable data. As a result, the information sheets about cases are completed, but all identifying data are blanked out by the records departments before these leave our hands. Before agreeing to co-operate, we feel that systems which allow patient identity to be retained at the periphery rather than the centre should be reconsidered most carefully. If, however, it can be proved that identification is essential, it should be accepted only when the public are fully conversant with the nature of the scheme, and when patient's notes contain a form for written consent to specific data being util-ized for research. This retains the principle of obtaining agreement from the

patient whenever an outside body of a non-clinical nature asks for information. Above all, we ask that parliamentary legislation governing the control and use of data banks should be enacted to protect people from the numerous potential abuses of such systems. If it is ethically questionable to allow confidential material out of our hands, it must be close to foolishness to do so without safeguards and without the knowledge of our patients.

When Aylesbury decided not to cooperate in the present record linkage scheme we found to our surprise that we were the only group of hospitals in the Oxford Region to do so. What happened to everyone else? Some of us still blink to see so much apparent apathy, and so little insistence on fundamental principles or safeguards. At the time of writing neither the D.H.S.S. nor the regional board seem prepared to discuss the issue publicly. Is the problem to remain unresolved until we enter a restructured N.H.S. in 1974, when much lay support will be remote and our representation less? Or may we hope that our profession will join Aylesbury in rejecting the supply of identifiable information until adequate protection of confidentiality exists. If we do not re-examine the basis upon which the doctor-patient relationship largely rests, we should not be surprised if that relationship deteriorates. -I am, etc.,

Aylesbury, Bucks.

RICHARD R. L. PRYER

Ordeal by Investigation

SIR,-It is a sobering reminder of what is expected of the patient in a modern hospital when, in a recent B.M.J. paper, a woman who had submitted to estimation of B.M.R., insulin stimulation test, liver biopsy, E.C.G., E.E.G., skull radiography, lumbar puncture, and air encephalography, as well as the

Confidentiality